Q9 If the City of Welland moves to permit motorized traffic on the Recreational Waterway, what steps would you expect to see taken to ensure public safety? (you may copy and paste your answer from a word processing program for legibility purposes)

Answered: 855 Skipped: 186

#	Responses	Date
1	Speed limits, boundaries, time limits, permit	4/24/2017 8:16 PM
2	control speed limit	4/22/2017 8:05 PM
3	It would require a police and MNR presence for sure.	4/22/2017 11:52 AM
4	Obviously there will have to be some policing of the water. We need to have policing along the canal waters anywayso it shouldn't be an impossible task. Signage etc. and certainly specific areas, so we don't have safety issues.	4/21/2017 9:47 PM
5	Restrict areas for usage perhaps	4/21/2017 7:18 PM
6	speed limits and swim only areas	4/21/2017 7:09 PM
7	Life jackets are a must, boat licence, dedicated areas for different things	4/21/2017 5:53 PM
8	No wake for certain areas, and a speed restriction of some sort. Still not sure about jet skis and seadoos. But with a reasonable speed restriction I think they could work.	4/21/2017 5:42 PM
9	You do not have the right to ask questions you have no legal authority to enforce - this is done by marine police and they will not charge boaters for using the canal, public safety is an issue no matter what, boats have the right to be there, so kaying should be restricted to one area, boats are licensed, there have been more deaths in canoes than boating	4/21/2017 5:03 PM
10	There is already laws for boating on all waterways. There is always going to be idiots at anything. Common sense and stupidity cannot be legislated.	4/21/2017 4:50 PM
11	Designated areas for Kayaking, canoes, etc, and a separate area for motor boats and jet skis, or a time schedule where each group has certain times of the day they can occupy the waters. Also maybe one of those radar detector signs that shows peoples speed in big numbers(typically used on troubled roads where people speed). We could have a max speed(fast enough to accommodate water skiers but not much above that). This way if someone is above the max speed then EVERYONE will be able to see it, and give police or city by law officers the authority to issue a warning and or ticket, and maybe a 3 strike rule. After 3 strikes you're banned from the waterway. Obviously a traditional no wake rule if a motorboat is passing a kayak or canoe, or swimmer would probably be beneficial as well. Those are just a few ideas.	4/21/2017 4:41 PM
12	police enforcement of speed limits and alchohol use	4/21/2017 4:41 PM
13	The current divisions of the Recreational Canal hinder any future development	4/21/2017 7:53 AM
14	Strictly enforce only small motors and a very go slow policy.	4/20/2017 4:48 PM
15	all motorized craft to obtain permits from the city in order to use the waterway. All owners of craft with a motor over a predecided horsepower must show proof of certification in the current nautical safety standards (not a boater so am not certain of the governing body's name). Any untoward issues arising on the waterway would be dealt with by the appropriate policing body.	4/20/2017 9:02 AM
16	I would expect enforcement, as well as docking fees	4/19/2017 4:35 PM
17	Regular water patrol from the Niagara Police. Certain times when motorzied boats/vehicles can be used. No motorized use at night. No motorized use in combination with non-motorized use.	4/18/2017 3:48 PM
18	Paid staff, 24 hrs a day until the river or canal freezes over solid. If you have no enforcement, don't waste the time writing words on paper.	4/18/2017 1:38 AM
19	Speeds and ban two stroke	4/17/2017 6:27 PM

20	police patrol	4/16/2017 4:48 PM
21	Dead slow speeds, constant monitoring, fines applied to abusers.	4/16/2017 9:20 AM
22	Strict enforcement of speed limits. Establish certain hours for motorboat usage.	4/15/2017 9:14 AM
23	Not sure maybe fines?	4/13/2017 2:14 PM
24	it will need to be policed (NRP and bylaw). It is known this area is not policed and in turn, I see it as something that can (will) be easily abused.	4/13/2017 1:51 PM
25	Make sure that any areas that are for swimming are located away from an area where a small motor boat would sailing.	4/13/2017 12:02 PM
26	Monitored with security or bylaw officers	4/13/2017 7:27 AM
27	Same as anywhere else, we pay to have boat and fishing licenses, ministry to spot check boaters when loading or unloading	4/13/2017 5:51 AM
28	It would habe to constantly ne patrolled, even then there is no guarantee for safety. O	4/12/2017 11:44 PM
29	Police on water check points for entry not enough can be done to prevent tragedy	4/12/2017 5:04 PM
30	Restrictions on motor size and boat size, speed limits, and hours of use.	4/12/2017 4:06 PM
31	Size and time limitation. A full schedule for the entire public to view and adhere to.	4/12/2017 2:52 PM
32	There would have to be water rescue crew and a water ambulance crew on duty all the time it's too dangerous to have watercraft on the canal	4/12/2017 10:01 AM
33	speed limits and designated areas.	4/12/2017 8:19 AM
34	I WOULD ADVISE ALL PEOPLE WHO ARE IN ANY HURT TO SUE THE CITY AND THE PERSON WHO HURT THEM. THE CITY BECAUSE THEY ALLOWED MOTOR CRAFT INTO THE CANAL AND THE OPERATOR OF THE CARFT.	4/11/2017 8:02 PM
35	No wake allowed and area designated by signs for non-motor activity ie: boat launch south to ontario st bridge for scuba divers	4/6/2017 2:01 PM
36	Do not permit motorized traffic.	4/6/2017 11:42 AM
37	Speed limit enforcement. Noise level monitoring.	4/4/2017 2:03 PM
38	its safer to keep power boats out	4/4/2017 10:19 AM
39	Steep User fees to cover the costs of a] building a boat launch and access road far away from current users; b] policing the users of motorized craft; c] the salaries of staff to inspect licenses of pilots and drivers; d] the liability insurance cost	4/3/2017 8:45 AM
40	They should permit them at the end of Thorold Rd., they could go all the way to Port Robinson with no problem.	4/2/2017 8:09 PM
41	Policing	4/2/2017 1:15 PM
42	Not a question of 'like' but the lawall laws enforce on the canal by the use of surveillance by trained officials. My understanding is that this would represent a cost to the city but officers would not be city employees.	4/2/2017 11:44 AM
43	Your going to ruin a golden opportunity for welland to be a flatwater Mecca	4/1/2017 12:59 AM
44	speed limit was always 9 knots but never enforced	3/31/2017 10:24 PM
45	No use with 1km on either side of recreational equipment rental areas	3/31/2017 8:54 PM
46	Hours of operation; policing; annual review of usage, complaints of abuse and ability to amend the decision.	3/31/2017 7:43 PM
47	Police boats occasionally	3/31/2017 7:12 PM
48		3/31/2017 6:22 PM
49	Enforce times- 8am-9pm. Occasional police boats	3/31/2017 11:58 AM
50	User pay program with strict supervision	3/31/2017 9:46 AM
51	designated boating areas, on going environmental research to maintain a waterway free of polutants. Police boats. Heavy fines for alcohol use and irradic boating. Heavy littering fines, no exceptions. Keeping Merritt Island a boat free zone. Moter vehicle size limits. Probably so many more.	3/31/2017 2:23 AM
52	Very specific and limited very special events and times,	3/30/2017 9:13 PM

53	I do not want motorized vehicles.	3/30/2017 9:12 PM
54	Security. Monitoring use. Some sort of policing. Fees for use. It's ridiculous having those noisy thing in our beautiful water way. There must be a better use.	3/30/2017 9:11 PM
55	A severe amount of security and policing. There have been deaths on the canal due to motorized vehicles.	3/29/2017 10:23 PM
56	I would expect the city to not permit motorized traffic and thereby incurr substantial increases in insurance to be paid by all citizens for a small portion's pleasure.	3/29/2017 8:07 PM
57	Electric powered boats for fishing could co-exist with curren users.	3/28/2017 10:27 PM
58	enough people already drown do not do it	3/28/2017 8:53 PM
59	Permitting motorized traffic will be very difficult to monitor. It will require more money to do this.	3/28/2017 6:45 PM
60	Don't know.	3/28/2017 3:25 PM
61	I would like to see what steps they are obligated to take and then decide if that is sufficient or needs to be added to. The COW must have a lawyer that would tell them what their liability is.	3/28/2017 1:35 PM
62	I do not believe the City can ensure public safety. Already we are dealing with dirt bikes, four wheelers on the trails and a lot of the canal lands are used as one giant dog park. Where are the enforcers for these violations? If the City cannot enforce those by-laws how can there be reasonable policing of the waterway.	3/28/2017 1:22 PM
63	Super strict rules and reguations	3/28/2017 11:29 AM
64	licensing and enforcement	3/28/2017 10:24 AM
65	Simply put, you can't have both. Mixed traffic is dangerous. Swimmers are hard to see. Rowers and SUP users will capsize. Amateur rental users will not only need to learn to control their equipment but also pay attention for boats and navigate wake.	3/28/2017 10:11 AM
66	Policing, Speed Limits, Size Restrictions	3/27/2017 11:40 PM
67	Permits for boats to use the canal.	3/27/2017 1:02 PM
68	lifeguard, portable toilets, increased garbage cans, more parking, wildlife protection, signage, increased lighting, gated entrance, restricted hours,	3/27/2017 12:05 PM
69	We would expect to have any motorized activity, escpecially when it involves skidoos, to be in an area far from where individuals for safety reasons.	3/27/2017 11:54 AM
70	Motorized traffic would only be allowed on specific days/times	3/27/2017 11:53 AM
71	Don't allow it!! There is no way to blend non motorized and motorized in such narrow waterways.	3/27/2017 6:15 AM
72	I would expect lane ways, as well as, policing on the waterway to ensure guidelines are enforced.	3/26/2017 10:59 PM
73	PLEASE DO NOT-this is a safety nightmare	3/26/2017 9:06 PM
74	It is not feasible for the South course. As mentioned above the first right of refusal to Athletic activities and events for the North course mightcwork	3/26/2017 8:27 PM
75	Someone who would patrol to ensure rules were followed on motorized watercraft	3/26/2017 2:54 PM
76	Not to allow while rowing in progress.	3/26/2017 12:18 PM
77	In the event that you make the mistake of opening it up then I recommend closing it to recreational boaters whenever there are rowers or paddlers on the water otherwise there will be mayhem.	3/26/2017 11:32 AM
78	I expect that there would be certain times when motorized traffic is not allowed. The safety of the rowers and canoers of the canal should be put in high priority. With so many of these users learning how to row and canoe, boaters would cause a lot of congestion around the waterway and wouldn't allow people to have a safe space to learn new skills. I'd expect that for certain times, boating would not be allowed and the canal could continue to be used as a quiet safe space for rowers and canoers	3/26/2017 11:07 AM
79	1- Constant surveillance of the area to enforce speed limits. With such a narrow canal and allowing motorized and man powered crafts the likelihood of crashes is significantly high. 2- Strictly enforced traffic patterns 3- Close monitoring by law enforcement for alcohol consumption while operating motorized boats	3/26/2017 10:19 AM
80	Marine law enforcement, as some of the new motorized sports participants are not aware of rules even with PRO.	3/26/2017 9:39 AM
81	no motor boats allowed	3/26/2017 9:05 AM

82	I hope scheduled times when rowers are not training. These athletes one day make national teams and need to practice without waves or fear of being injured.	3/26/2017 9:03 AM
83	Large no-wake zones. And perhaps restricted time access (especially during dusk and dawn).	3/26/2017 8:41 AM
84	Don't allow it. Would be a nightmare to monitor and control	3/26/2017 8:38 AM
85	A schedule where motorized vehicles are prohibited from the water during non-motorized practices and events. My child and teammates' safety is most important!	3/26/2017 8:31 AM
86	Motorized craft restricted to limited areas of the canal. None where the open water swimmers train. None on the south course. None where the canoe/kayak dragon boat and rowing competitions happening.	3/26/2017 7:37 AM
87	Keep them confined to a small area, or within certain times. I would expect the flatwater center to continue to be utilized for events, and motorized traffic nowhere near it. I would hope the canoe/kayak/dragonboat/rowing center to be able to continue to be utilized, and motorized boats to have to yield to them. And I would expect the area monitored to ensure all individuals using motorized crafts had their Pleasure Craft Operator Card and proper lifevests onboard.	3/26/2017 3:25 AM
88	Appeal	3/26/2017 1:01 AM
89	No motorized craft allowed when non motorized events are held and during practice times of non motorized sports	3/25/2017 11:56 PM
90	There is no way to safely introduce motorized traffic on these waterways while still maintaining the integrity of the critically important athletic functions that these waterways currently serve. Please see answer to question 8., above.	3/25/2017 11:35 PM
91	Motorized traffic should not be allowed (unless for competitions)period. That is the best way to ensure public safety.	3/25/2017 10:44 PM
92	Should motorized traffic be allowed on the waterway, I would expect that it would be limited to a small section of the canal for a limited period of time. I would expect use to be limited to one or two Sundays in the summer for motorized vehicles, providing there are no conflicting events on the water or the amphitheatre. The area would need to be patroled and staffed to ensure there are no conflicts with swimmers or scuba divers. Giving advance notice to user groups does not guarantee that all users will get the message. I would be sickened to see an accident occur. Selecting a location would also be a challenge.	3/25/2017 10:29 PM
93	Precedence must be given to non-motorized events and practices. In all cases!	3/25/2017 10:17 PM
94	I would hope the city doesn't move to permit motorized traffic on the waterway. From a practical sense it would be far too difficult to police who can be where and when.	3/25/2017 10:13 PM
95	Limit how many people go in, require users to participate in training to understand how to share the waterway with other non-powered users. Limit use to 2.5 hp.	3/25/2017 10:10 PM
96	It should never happen	3/25/2017 10:09 PM
97	Separate areas for motorized boats and flatwater boats as there is no way that these different types of boats can coexist safely. Speed limits, time/day limits, noise limits, limits to number of users at a given time (to avoid congestion). Not sure what you'll do about liability given the greater accident risk of motorized boats operated at high speeds by members of the general public that may not act responsibly. Enforcement may be a challenge and expensive. What about requiring proof of insurance for boat and jet ski drivers? Bit of an administrative nightmare I'd guess.	3/25/2017 9:47 PM
98	Recreational and competitive water sport organizations have the ability to close the waterways during practices, training times, competitions, and other events.	3/25/2017 9:13 PM
99	Waterways will have to be policed.	3/25/2017 8:51 PM
100	we should have an election	3/25/2017 6:46 PM
101	Speed Limits Enforcement, designated buyos system.	3/25/2017 5:48 PM
102	limited hours for power boats, restricted areas, electric motor only	3/25/2017 3:10 PM
103	24/7 policing of the waterway	3/25/2017 12:08 PM
104	I would expect that the City of Welland would hire security staff to constantly ensure the safety of the families using the same waterway. I would expect that the security would be there to ensure that no one is impaired while operating a motorized vehicel	3/25/2017 8:49 AM
105	I am opposed to motorized traffic in the canal.	3/25/2017 12:49 AM
106	Have days for non motorized vechicles	3/24/2017 7:02 PM
107	Unfortunately, the only way I see public safety being ensured is with monitoring and enforcement - at additional costs in manpower and methods.	3/24/2017 2:26 PM

108	you can'tnot hours, not days, it would be a nonsensical moveany council that thinks of that needs tobe removed from officeassinine!!!	3/24/2017 1:38 PM
109	continuous policing of the waterways for safety and compliance with laws	3/24/2017 1:10 PM
110	Drinking on water	3/24/2017 1:02 PM
111	We believe that motorized vehicle activity is not compatible with those activities that are now permitted on the waterway. There can only be one or the other. We strongly believe that wisdom will prevail and the city council will not permit motorized traffic on the waterway	3/24/2017 12:55 PM
112	There would have to be certain dates/times. A designated boat launch which would be closed / locked during non permitted times. Perhaps they can only go south as far main street bridge. Since the far south end is rowing.	3/24/2017 12:42 PM
113	Strict policing and penalties to deter unsafe and annoying use of the waterway.	3/24/2017 11:23 AM
114	No motorized traffic at all no	3/24/2017 10:50 AM
115	I am there every day and I will be watching. Hopefully I will get some help. Hopefully I won't have to.	3/24/2017 9:24 AM
116	low speed limits	3/24/2017 9:21 AM
117	Well there will be a number of sports that will suffer due to unsafe conditions being allowed so I would seek out political offices to assist to reverse the decision.	3/24/2017 9:12 AM
118	If they do that would be unfortunate because as you know people will do what ever they want on the canal and the litter along the water way will become worse than it is already. It would have to be policed regularly and it would be unsafe for people swimming, kayaking or rowing.	3/24/2017 9:11 AM
119	But I agree with the idea that these boats should be restricted to an area located north of the exising Flatwater Centre boat and SUP areas, which typically travel through the downtown. Those motorized boats should not be allowed to operate within the same portion of the waterway due to their wake possibly causing SUPs riders to fall over since they are likely novices.	3/24/2017 8:49 AM
120	restricted times very restricted speed restricted motor size	3/24/2017 8:34 AM
121	Staff on site	3/24/2017 8:27 AM
122	do not allow	3/24/2017 8:15 AM
123	different zones for motorized and non motorized use	3/24/2017 7:29 AM
124	Law enforcement	3/24/2017 6:52 AM
125	Separate spaces on the waterway	3/24/2017 2:50 AM
126	That move would be foolish and fought tooth and nail	3/24/2017 1:39 AM
127	small boats with small motors and limited speed (eg. maximum 10 kph or 5 knots). The boats should also be mandated to have some visible identification or license number.	3/23/2017 9:53 PM
128	Enforcement	3/23/2017 9:05 PM
129	I am only in favour of keeping out motorized traffic. Zero steps to monitor would be effective.	3/23/2017 8:37 PM
130	a bylaw officer constantly patrolling to enforce rules or time restrictions which we no isn't feasable	3/23/2017 8:23 PM
131	Restricted areas	3/23/2017 8:02 PM
132	Police on the waterway	3/23/2017 8:00 PM
133	Electric powered boats only.	3/23/2017 7:59 PM
134	24/7 enforcement paid for 100% through fines to violators	3/23/2017 6:52 PM
135	Welland On L3B0C3	3/23/2017 6:12 PM
136	i think it would be crazy to let any gas powered boats or ski boats into the waterway there is not enough room to ski and chippawa river already has a problem with speeding.	3/23/2017 4:52 PM
137	bylaw officers on the water to issue tickets	3/23/2017 4:39 PM
138	All motorized boats should have a visible registration number	3/23/2017 4:38 PM
139	Full Police Presence. Patrol Craft. Is it not common sense that if a kid is learning to stand on a paddle board, you don't have boats that can make waves and/or fishing lines and hooks set up across the water? If you don't enforce, the motor boats will win and we will have to go elsewhere and/or someone will die-be seriously hurt.	3/23/2017 4:35 PM

140	None, or post a few signs to void the City of any liability concerns	3/23/2017 4:32 PM
141	You would need 24hr policing to ensure that laws where being obeyed. That will be costly to the city.	3/23/2017 4:13 PM
142	No jet skis or speed boats. Electrical motors should be quiet. Life jackets a. Must	3/23/2017 4:07 PM
143	i think canadian marine laws properly address this question	3/23/2017 3:17 PM
144	Limiting of the size of motor, limiting times for participation.	3/23/2017 3:03 PM
145	speed limits	3/23/2017 2:48 PM
146	very slow speeds of boats and limited sizes	3/23/2017 2:44 PM
147	Restricted use times and areas of use	3/23/2017 2:28 PM
148	Restricted time of use and speed limits	3/23/2017 2:18 PM
149	Safety	3/23/2017 2:06 PM
150	Better have police who "serve and protect" our community on the waterways to enforce the laws.	3/23/2017 12:51 PM
151	In the fantasy world, have policing on the water to regulate the rules So in the real world at least signage with a hotline number which would be manned to hear the complaints and to be to sent the proper authorities in a timely manner	3/23/2017 9:29 AM
152	All steps would be expensive and the motorized boaters would not carry that cost exclusively. Full time surveillance and policing, fencing, barricades to prevent illegal or unathorized use. Safe parking facilities. Why? For whose benefit? And at what cost to the current users, both on the water and one the canals idea lands and to the peaceful enjoyment of property nearby. At any cost, there is no solution for 100% noise abatement.	3/23/2017 9:19 AM
153	There will be no way to police it, City will be opening itself up to many potential massive lawsuits. How will people be stopped from going into the flat water course? Not worth it.	3/23/2017 8:19 AM
154	No one enforces the no jumping from bridge - why would we expect any enforcement - the idea of motorized traffic in such a confined area when Niagara is surrounded by lakes is ridiculous	3/23/2017 7:02 AM
155	Have boaters sign a waiver and prove insurance and agree to have fun	3/22/2017 9:54 PM
156	In my opinion, there are no real safe steps that the City can take. The bottom line is that the canal will now be unequivocally less safe!!!	3/22/2017 6:25 PM
157	Speed limits and off limit zones with security to patrol and enforce.	3/22/2017 5:29 PM
158	The city should not permit motorized traffic on the canal. There are plenty of other water sources to use these crafts.	3/22/2017 5:00 PM
159	24/7 OPP marine patrol. Canadian Safe Boating Council guidelines.	3/22/2017 9:59 AM
160	designated water way traffic signs. also defined lanes for swimmers like the bike lanes on roads.	3/21/2017 9:31 PM
161	proper safety signage with NRP number posted. Also you can take the security guards from city hall which is a waste of money and have them part time patrol the canal lands.	3/21/2017 9:17 PM
162	No motorboats during rowing/kayak practices, having to avoid motorboats and their wake will be near impossible	3/21/2017 9:15 PM
163	Police presents at all times. Bylaw officers on duty 24 hours a day.	3/21/2017 7:16 PM
164	require permit agreeing to low HP and speed	3/21/2017 6:34 PM
165	The same as all other waterways in the province	3/21/2017 5:12 PM
166	NOT SAFE	3/21/2017 4:44 PM
167	There would have to be a certain area where they are allowed. This would shorten the training course for all other sports however. There would also have to be more AED stations along the canal as well as bathrooms and docks. I would also hope there would be some kind of training package people operating motorized vehicles would have to take.	3/21/2017 3:49 PM
168	Safe designated areas for all to use	3/21/2017 3:18 PM
169	Don't do it. You would be taking a valuable resource away from the people in our community. Most people in Welland cannot afford to have a boat for use in the canal. Those who can afford the boat or jet ski can afford the gas to go to the lake to keep out waterway safe for the majority	3/21/2017 3:16 PM
170	The bridges would need protection around them and caution signs all down the canal would be needed	3/21/2017 1:34 PM

171	I don't believe that there is a way to ensure safety of club members and renters as soon as motorized vehicles are allowed on the canal. I believe motorized vehicles would instantly put everyone at risk unless there is constant supervision along the waterway, which isn't reasonable.	3/21/2017 1:16 PM
172	Rowing and kayaking would be at risk for the benefit of motorized vehicles. Kayaks and canoes deserve a dedicated space where they can feel safe.	3/21/2017 1:09 PM
173	There would have to be time restrictions for all of the programs and motorboat traffic.	3/21/2017 1:08 PM
174	Policing of waterways as a swimmer right now i dont have to watch for boats running me over	3/21/2017 12:56 PM
175	The canal would need to be policed - someone has to ensure the safety of everyone using it. Motorized boats etc could become a danger for swimmers etc	3/21/2017 9:22 AM
176	enforcement of horsepower limit	3/20/2017 9:08 PM
177	Special area for each use	3/20/2017 8:50 PM
178	scheduled times when motorized boats are permitted and enforcement of these times	3/20/2017 8:14 PM
179	There would have to be constant supervision either police or bylaw officers It has been my observation that motorized vehicles constantly use the pedestrian pathways along this waterway. These include motorized dirt bikes, 4 wheelers and skids. It is my understanding that the vehicles are not allowed on these paths. Where is the enforcement??	3/20/2017 6:57 PM
180	Water way bylaw officers	3/20/2017 6:26 PM
181	Constant patrollign by the police during daylight hors to enforce the rules and regulations.	3/20/2017 4:57 PM
182	Time restrictions and active enforcement with fines and noise and speed limits and designated areas to reduce noise impacts and recreational activity impacts	3/20/2017 4:42 PM
183	Unsure	3/20/2017 4:24 PM
84	Enforcement of rulescand regulations.	3/20/2017 4:17 PM
85	who is going to pay for the increased policing that this would require? More taxes?	3/20/2017 3:24 PM
86	don't allow it	3/20/2017 2:05 PM
187	the issue is policing. Some one has to monitpor boating traffic on water in the sme way they do the area lakes. Have to consider drinking and use of motorized boats. Right of way rules and dealing with inevitable confrontations between motor craft and everyone elase.	3/20/2017 12:41 PM
188	Regular patrols of the canal to ensure safe behaviours and operation within established zones.	3/20/2017 12:22 PM
189	I recall prior to our present status (pre 2003), we would hear motor boats and jet skis for countless hours on the canal. We walk, run, cycle on the Welland Island and countless times have encounters jet skiers who would pull up to the island docs to drink alcohol and smoke illegal substances as it was secluded. They never had any couth and would leave there trash behind. I have never seen this type of activity from those in kayaks or canoes.	3/20/2017 11:30 AM
90	Speed and motor size limits and NO JET SKIS!	3/20/2017 7:38 AM
191	Designated areas with barriers to prevent motorized vehicles from crossing the lines. Heavy fines. Easy ways to report voilators	3/20/2017 6:18 AM
192	Do not let hem in the recreational area at the flat water centre towards Notre dame and the other way beyond the 406. Some of us train early in the morning and after work. I train mid afternoon.	3/19/2017 7:44 PM
193	fully functional boat ramps, permits charged and issued to boat owners, a staffer insuring that users have a permit and an operators' license and a boat inspection to ensure that all safety laws are followed, police presence on the canal and off the canal, limit to the maximumu amount of users where others would have to wait their turn, a check that boaters carry insurance, THAT THE CITY OF WELLAND HAS LIABILITY INSURANCE, other users of the waterway are not negatively impacted by boaters, NO ALCOHOL. Shoreline protection, especially in the North end where erosion is currently visible.	3/19/2017 6:01 PM
94	Regular police patrols	3/19/2017 11:00 AM
95	Speed limits? Skidoos are going to be a nightmare!	3/19/2017 10:59 AM
196	Motor size limitations. Speed limit. No wake zones. Under the international and Canadian boating laws it is the boat owners responsibility to make safe passage for a Conoe kayak. All it takes is one canoe in the canal to shut down any wake from a boat. We have enough bodies of water in or region to use a motorized boat let's keep it that way.	3/19/2017 10:05 AM
197	Speed limits and police occupancychecking for drinking and proper licensing	3/18/2017 10:18 PM

198	The canal would need to be policed diligently during daylight hours	3/18/2017 6:12 PM
199	More life saving rings ans restriction on swimming in areas boats are allowed	3/18/2017 9:31 AM
200	There would need to be a mechanism in place to ensure the safety of non-motorized users, and this mechanism would need to be enforced by the City of Welland.	3/18/2017 8:24 AM
201	You would have to police it 24/7 because there is always a jerk out there on the water, not to mention drinking and driving too.	3/18/2017 1:23 AM
202	Have police monitor it.	3/17/2017 6:53 PM
203	Hours for that specific use. Posted rules. Volunteer observers.	3/17/2017 6:24 PM
204	I would only support boats with small motors to be used for fishing.	3/17/2017 10:58 AM
205	A specified, blocked off area for the motorized traffic to use, and only that space.	3/17/2017 9:54 AM
206	Speed restrictions and areas where motorized boats can't use so people can enjoy for paddling	3/16/2017 10:11 PM
207	Specific boating lanes	3/16/2017 7:50 PM
208	Enforce a bylaw when it can be used 'dusk-dawn'.	3/16/2017 5:01 PM
209	To ensure public safety you must not allow motorized traffic on the RECREATIONAL waterway.	3/16/2017 4:16 PM
210	Have a launch fee to support costs	3/16/2017 4:11 PM
211	There would have to be staff patrolling the waterway along with a boat ramp fee equal to that of other boat ramps in the region, if boats are allowed I would expect to be allowed on the water with a 3,500 hp top fuel race boat for testing purposes. If not then there will be discrimination issues because a boat is a boat and if someone can go with a 100hp or a 250 hp I should be able to use 3,500 hp.	3/16/2017 3:49 PM
212	Take whatever steps you want. I'm not bringing my 2 year old and baby to canoe in an area with motorized traffic. We will stop making the trip from St. Catharines to Welland.	3/16/2017 3:41 PM
213	Supervision of waterways. Fines for infractions. Posted laws.	3/16/2017 3:36 PM
214	public safety will be a big issue, there will have to be marine police to control speed, drinking, littering	3/16/2017 1:22 PM
215	Each person using the waterway should have their own insurance and sign a release of the city's responsibility of any liability.	3/16/2017 11:58 AM
216	segregate areas to allow everyone to enjoy the canal within the laws and regulations that are presently in place.	3/16/2017 11:47 AM
217	No wake zones posted, and defined	3/16/2017 11:42 AM
218	having areas sectioned to where they are allowed to keep kayaking area and canooing area calm	3/16/2017 10:56 AM
219	The city of Welland will not be able to ensure public safety due to costs and lack of resources to patrol the canal to ensure public safety	3/16/2017 9:20 AM
220	I would like to see it restricted to a certain engine size. There were many accidents from people hitting the bridge blocks when it was unrestricted. Not to mention the canal is viewed as a safe swimming place for people and animals alike. I would hope to see postings around the area about watching for motorists.	3/16/2017 9:15 AM
221	Licensing Laws that are in practice and means to enforce it	3/15/2017 10:47 PM
222	security systems, canal patrol	3/15/2017 10:12 PM
223	You had better have 24 hr police patrol capabilit	3/15/2017 9:44 PM
224	Police marine unit established	3/15/2017 9:19 PM
225	Zones or motor size restrictions. How is the rowing patrolled? I have been told to get out of the way of rowers while I was standing still fishing in a canoe?	3/15/2017 9:02 PM
226	Adequate signage, advertising to educate the public, use a registration system with the city where people using motorized boats on the WRW have to identify themselves and commit to established rules	3/15/2017 7:17 PM
227	choose either or- sharing will not work	3/15/2017 7:14 PM
228	Permits might be needed to limit the amount of watercraft during a given day	3/15/2017 6:56 PM
229	I am sure that was already discussed and accomplishe in other places no need to brake open doors	3/15/2017 2:46 PM
230	Keep motorized boats only in specific areas away from quiet areas	3/15/2017 1:43 PM

231	I strongly stand by the Go Quiet by-law and firmly believe there should not be any other consideration. The reason my family and I moved to this location by the canal is because of its peaceful, quiet environment, overlooking the water. Many children, my siblings and I included, take advantage of this canal and its activities during the warmer months. I have always felt assured that they were being responsible and safe. If motorized traffic was to pass through this area at any given time, that would restrict the children (youth and adults alike) from their activities and even put them at risk; the drivers of these motorized vehicles will not likely see the smaller children using the canal. Motorized vehicles creates many waves and ripples which will effect the kayakers and paddle boarders, for a child to worry about being knocked over by a wave is not one they have to consider, as many are just learning and trying these things for the first time. I do not support the permit of motorized traffic and I feel that many others living along the canal with children share the same opinion.	3/15/2017 12:33 PM
232	i think that security checks at launch facilities would be sufficient	3/15/2017 12:11 PM
233	should not be allow.	3/15/2017 10:30 AM
234	No comment	3/15/2017 9:46 AM
235	There are safe boating laws already in place. transport canada small vesel regulations, Canada shipping act and criminal code of Canada.	3/15/2017 12:49 AM
236	N/A. The welland Canal is not a safe place for motorized traffic because of it's small width and bridges.	3/14/2017 9:10 PM
237	Why ruin something relaxing we have 2 lakes surrounding us what more water do motorized boats need	3/14/2017 9:02 PM
238	Don't do it! Motor sizes will be pushed past the limits made	3/14/2017 5:34 PM
239	small electric motors don't go much faster than dragon boats, what is the problem	3/14/2017 4:58 PM
240	I do not support expanding to motorized traffic.	3/14/2017 4:57 PM
241	It's impossible to keep safety a priority, who is going to keep a watchful eye. We are surrounded by lakes let the motorized boat people use the lakes for their entertainment and let the rest of us have some quiet.	3/14/2017 4:16 PM
242	As stated, there is no reason to permt motorized traffic on the waterway except to win votes for re-election.	3/14/2017 2:47 PM
243	I'd hope it would be kept to a certain area but prefer it stay status quo. Lots of areas in region for boaters.	3/14/2017 2:19 PM
244	A speed limit would be acceptable,20km.	3/14/2017 1:05 PM
245	I hope it doesn't pass	3/14/2017 1:02 PM
246	NO USE< not even some allowance, this is a more static waterway with it being blocked at one end. The added pollution would make for worse water conditions for fishing/swimmineg/etc	3/14/2017 12:44 PM
247	A break wall to separate to motorized crafts from the rest of the canal.	3/14/2017 11:29 AM
248	I can only truly hope this is never considered	3/14/2017 8:43 AM
249	Electric motor only and 24-7 supervision.	3/14/2017 8:40 AM
250	Regular patrols, shoreline studies, fish habitat studies	3/14/2017 6:03 AM
251	Police patrol	3/14/2017 1:42 AM
252	The safest steps that can be taken is not to allow motorboats on the canal, there is no risk if there are no boats.	3/13/2017 10:01 PM
253	Zones for use	3/13/2017 9:16 PM
254	Safety patrol	3/13/2017 9:07 PM
255	I would no longer come into Welland to use the waterway.	3/13/2017 5:51 PM
256	I think they should have a specific area where the boats can go. Also maybe another safety program to ensure proper use of boats so that not just any person who goes out and buys a boat can get on the water and not really know how to use it	3/13/2017 4:34 PM
257	speed limit areas	3/13/2017 4:16 PM
258	No	3/13/2017 3:15 PM
259	I don't believe the City of Welland will be able to take the necessary steps to ensure public safety.	3/13/2017 3:07 PM
260	It din't work before, some one was going to get hurt or worse	3/13/2017 1:20 PM
261	enforcement!	3/13/2017 12:57 PM

262	I hope they don't. One of the reasons we moved here was to have access to rowing. This would ruin that. If they do, however, you would have to have police on the waterways. It should only be at certain times - strictly enforced. No drinking at all on the boats. And there should be a speed limit - strictly enforced.	3/13/2017 11:26 AM
263	There are no steps to protect public safetynot everyone is responsibleand with the amount of drinking and drugs out thereit's not worth itwe have enough waterways for motorized recreational boatswe need the peace and serenity not only for our little ones, our older adults, nature, animals who raise their young along these waterways. Just say NO!	3/13/2017 11:17 AM
264	I'VE OBSERVED TRAIL DECLINE WITH THE CONSTANT ILLEGAL USE OF ATV'S IN THE AREA.	3/13/2017 9:57 AM
265	speed limits and restricted areas .	3/13/2017 9:55 AM
266	Zoning and reinforcement. Right from the beginning have the laws that have been put into place reinforced by police presence and fines	3/13/2017 9:12 AM
267	Strongly oppose this move without exception	3/13/2017 9:06 AM
268	Do not permit motorized traffic on the Recreational Waterway.	3/13/2017 8:05 AM
269	Enforcement of the water and area should be provided	3/13/2017 8:03 AM
270	None, users of motorized boats are already required to obtain a pleasure craft operator card.	3/13/2017 7:28 AM
271	closing off sections I guess but then this would limit any non motorized vehicle from going anywhere they wanted.	3/13/2017 6:58 AM
272	DON'T ALLOW IT!!!!	3/13/2017 6:51 AM
273	See 8	3/13/2017 6:40 AM
274	Only allow them in a certain part	3/13/2017 3:47 AM
275	No jet ski no seado and speed regulations	3/13/2017 12:19 AM
276	Life preservers, speed limits, roped off areas for swimming	3/13/2017 12:17 AM
277	Complete separation between areas designated for motorized and non-motorized use. Daily monitoring assuring those using motorized watercraft are adhering to established rules.	3/13/2017 12:13 AM
278	Enforcing by-laws and monitoring responsible motoristd	3/12/2017 11:10 PM
279	There would be very little they can do, the canal is too narrow.	3/12/2017 11:09 PM
280	I would like to see designated time during the day where only non-motorized vehicles are permitted.	3/12/2017 10:46 PM
281	I don't think this should be permitted and would be disappointed if it was.	3/12/2017 10:17 PM
282	24/7 patrolling by police.	3/12/2017 10:01 PM
283	Limited days for motorboats	3/12/2017 10:00 PM
284	It simply can't happen. So dangerous for people out on the water learning to paddle and row.	3/12/2017 9:43 PM
285	Spot checking of coolers for alcohol, fishing licenses and boating licenses, as well as designated swimming and rowing areas	3/12/2017 9:41 PM
286	i don't believe there are options. and who's going to police and monitor it? as i already said i see accidents happening	3/12/2017 9:41 PM
287	Should be limit on motor size use	3/12/2017 9:16 PM
288	Monitoring/policing of motorized vehicles, designated areas	3/12/2017 9:05 PM
289	Limited areas, north of downtown only	3/12/2017 8:40 PM
290	Security boats on the water but who pays	3/12/2017 6:59 PM
291	I'd have no use for the waterway if that happens. And that would be very upsetting to me	3/12/2017 6:07 PM
292	Don't have motorized vehicles on the water way.	3/12/2017 4:32 PM
293	Just restrict the size of the motors the rowers still have motor boats in the canal all the time with 15hp motors i believe	3/12/2017 4:26 PM
294	Monitoring craft size, speed and operators and imposing fines for violations	3/12/2017 4:24 PM
295	I expect that the city not permit this change. If they do, I will no longer be using the canal for paddle boarding. However, I would expect safety lanes be created and full time lifeguards to be staffed.	3/12/2017 4:19 PM
296	Don't do it	3/12/2017 4:12 PM

297	Only so many allowed on at a timespeed limits	3/12/2017 4:12 PM
298	Once motorized traffic is allowed back onto the canal there will be no public safety for use of the canal for swimming or for our dogs to splash around in.	3/12/2017 4:07 PM
299	I will not use the Waterway ever again as I would gear for my safety of being run over	3/12/2017 4:06 PM
300	There would have to be certain hours of operation to ensure the safety of non motorized traffic and be strictly enforced with stiff penalties for non compliance.	3/12/2017 3:43 PM
301	Constant enforcement of the rules and bylaws.	3/12/2017 12:54 PM
302	laws will need to be enforced 24-7!!!!	3/12/2017 12:28 PM
303	if you police it then you are adding to the problem	3/12/2017 11:39 AM
304	Zero expectation for CoW to permit motorized traffic.	3/12/2017 9:34 AM
305	slow speeds, restricted or modified use when competitions, or special events are running.	3/12/2017 7:48 AM
306	Not happy, but would expect 24/7 patrol on the waterway for abusers of the canal and safety	3/12/2017 12:10 AM
307	just do not want to see motorized trafficit is very hard to controlthe police do not have a marine unit to patrol the waterway at presentdeveloping a marine unit will create unnecessary and added expense to taxpayers.	3/11/2017 10:16 PM
308	Hour restrictions, lane ways, and signage	3/11/2017 7:11 PM
309	I watched in horror when motorized traffic sped up and down the canal previously and I do not believe that we have the resources to patrol it properly .	3/11/2017 7:06 PM
310	The same laws that apply to boaters should be followed	3/11/2017 4:00 PM
311	A specific area for their use. Restrictions on hours of operation and restrictions on the number of vessels allowed at any specific time.	3/11/2017 2:27 PM
312	Patrols, fines, and ramp charges.	3/11/2017 2:10 PM
313	Put a speed limit between east main bridge and down to the train bridge of 10-15 km per hour don't allow boats past the train bridge to protect the rowing section	3/11/2017 10:33 AM
314	An identified boat only zone	3/11/2017 10:24 AM
315	I DO NOT want to see it happen.	3/11/2017 10:23 AM
316	Police presence the entire time	3/11/2017 10:00 AM
317	nrp	3/10/2017 11:00 PM
318	Speeding would need to be monitored as would possible alcohol use while operating any motorized craft.	3/10/2017 6:14 PM
319	No wake zones near the docking areas marked with buoys.	3/10/2017 6:04 PM
320	lots of policing both night and day, environmental officers to ensure no fuel or oil spills as if you are swimming this is a safety issue	3/10/2017 5:22 PM
321	Restrict the size of motors allowed. Enforced speed limits, although I can't imagine how that could happen?	3/10/2017 5:09 PM
322	Such a move would be regrettable	3/10/2017 5:06 PM
323	Specific area where boats are aloud that doesnf affect the events happening now on the canal	3/10/2017 3:24 PM
324	restrict the areas that motorized vehicles can run. IE splitting the canal in half with boeys to keep motorized away from nonmotorized	3/10/2017 3:22 PM
325	The Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Plan may be potentially amended to prohibit motorized traffic.	3/10/2017 3:03 PM
326	Designated scuba diving area off limits to boats.	3/10/2017 3:01 PM
327	Restricting motors to certain areas of the waterway & enforcing the restriction.	3/10/2017 12:33 PM
328	Clearly state water way rules	3/10/2017 12:21 PM
329	I would want full time police enforcement on the waterway.	3/10/2017 11:49 AM
330	Proper policing of drunk boaters, proper policing to ensure gas and oil isn't spilled, and proper policing of speed limit enforcement. Welland cannot handle this additional cost.	3/10/2017 11:43 AM
331	Please do not allow motorized use for safety reasons and habitat protection reasons	3/10/2017 11:42 AM

332	Because of the size of the body of water, number of crafts would have to be limited . Also patrolling for the safety of swimmers, scuba divers and paddlers.	3/10/2017 10:22 AM
333	Low horse powered and speed limits.	3/10/2017 9:59 AM
334	Same laws that apply on any public waterway.	3/10/2017 7:24 AM
335	Controlling powerboaters is like herding catslikely to fail.	3/10/2017 6:29 AM
336	Fence off the area south of the train bridge at the PCD overpass to allow for flatwater usage and the rest should be made accessible to motor boats as it was intended to be. Move all flatwater activities to the Flatwater Centre as it sits underutilized most of the time.	3/10/2017 6:14 AM
337	The rules that were in place before didn't work, speeding motorboats still ran amok and people fought long and hard for the Go Quiet bylaw. It should remain as is.	3/10/2017 1:15 AM
338	public safety is up to the public be safe people	3/9/2017 11:57 PM
339	I hope that the city of Welland does not permit water traffic. However if it does I would suggest that there be boundaries keeping motorized watercrafts a said distance from the shores, insuring that there is a safe are for people and animals along the shore. I would also suggest that there would be a wake and speed limit on the waterway.	3/9/2017 10:53 PM
340	Designated area in specific days for motorized usage	3/9/2017 7:41 PM
341	Do not allow sea Doos on the waterway! Not to be trusted! I've seen first hand how careless sea doo users are & dareing they are when boats, canoers & kyakers are in the water.	3/9/2017 7:35 PM
342	Speed limit, no swimming at dock, no motorized sports at night	3/9/2017 6:28 PM
343	Sections of the canal should still be designated "Go Quiet"	3/9/2017 6:14 PM
344	Active policing.	3/9/2017 5:46 PM
345	DO NOT DO THAT !	3/9/2017 5:32 PM
346	hope it does not go through with it,	3/9/2017 4:59 PM
347	Separate lanes, speed limits- both monitored, only certain times for motorized traffic	3/9/2017 4:11 PM
348	Allow one day on the weekend for it within about a 4 hour time frame. We live between 2 great lakessurely people can enjoy their motorboats there!	3/9/2017 3:49 PM
349	Have restricted areas where motorized vehicles are not permitted. Eg. Through the town or near residential areas.	3/9/2017 3:47 PM
350	No swimming around docks and speed limits	3/9/2017 3:40 PM
351	There should be a section for non-motor sports to continue as they were	3/9/2017 3:34 PM
352	Create designated swimming/non-motorized activity areas and designated motorized boat areas	3/9/2017 3:19 PM
353	Don't allow it as you'l never control it .Speed compliance,noise,insurance,drinking cost too much to enforce.	3/9/2017 3:09 PM
354	Life guards and potroled waterways	3/9/2017 3:07 PM
355	dawn till dusk, speed limit, no swimming at boat launch	3/9/2017 2:45 PM
356	patrols, area and time restrictions as well as specific launching areas. Restrictions for capacities on board and age appropriate drivers, this should be checked at time of launch.	3/9/2017 2:37 PM
357	have a specific lane set up with permanent buoys to separate the swimmers from motorized traffic	3/9/2017 2:28 PM
358	limit times and areas for motorized craft. Enforcement patrols and fines for violations.	3/9/2017 2:12 PM
359	Restrictions on motor size to only include small electric engines, some areas sectioned off to allow swimmers and fisherman to participate without disruption, have a "no wake" restriction in most areas close to where other non-motorized activities take place, restrict times of use for motorized use to daytime	3/9/2017 2:04 PM
360	Given lack of enforcement on dirt bikes I doubt it.	3/9/2017 2:03 PM
361	Listing steps here is a complete waste of time as, for the most part, they would not be satisfactorily enforced	3/9/2017 1:35 PM
362	That they would impose specific bylaws & that they would be reinforced.	3/9/2017 1:07 PM
363	DEFINE a specific area for motors PRESERVE the safe areas for long distance swim - rowing - and other non-motorized sports - PHYSICAL activities need to be preserved as the population is leaning to obesity and poor health.	3/9/2017 12:14 PM
364	A maximum of 10 hp and no jet skis or similar vehicles.	3/9/2017 12:01 PM

365	I am opposed, strongly!	3/9/2017 11:57 AM
366	?	3/9/2017 11:27 AM
367	please do not let that happen but if so, motorboats should paddle like the other boats	3/9/2017 11:17 AM
368	They need to do it far away from the rental and rowing facilities. The Canal is a large enough that it should be no where near that area.	3/9/2017 11:03 AM
369	Controled speed, safety, size of craft, reason for use	3/9/2017 11:02 AM
370	Limit motorized traffic to a specific area away from the downtown core and away from any rental facilities.	3/9/2017 10:45 AM
371	I don't think there are any steps that they could take other than 24 hour monitoring presence on the waterway itself. It wouldn't matter to a lot of people whether or not there were rules in place unless there was someone available to enforce them.	3/9/2017 10:42 AM
372	Boats stay away from drinking water intakes by at least 5 kms	3/9/2017 10:05 AM
373	Constant surveillance not just when there was a complaint. Enforce the bylaws with a significant fine for abuse. Keep motorized activities far away from the areas that are already designated	3/9/2017 10:04 AM
374	Full time on the water enforcing	3/9/2017 9:56 AM
375	Well something like that is used at your own riskmaybe random police checks on the water though making sure no drinking is going on	3/9/2017 9:55 AM
376	video surveillance, speed limits, and stiff fines for anyone who does not follow the rules.	3/9/2017 9:40 AM
377	Enforcement	3/9/2017 9:30 AM
378	I would expect that the city designate certain areas where boat are and are not permitted. Do not allow motor boats near rowing areas or near rental and swimming area	3/9/2017 9:14 AM
379	Common sense safety rules, especially around scuba divers and swimmers	3/9/2017 9:09 AM
380	Enforcement of laws pertaining to the use of motorized watercraft.	3/9/2017 8:02 AM
381	Only electric motors allowed and restricted hours of use.	3/9/2017 7:59 AM
382	Regular water testing for pollution, stations with look-out towers, hubs/floating decks with emergency service boats.	3/9/2017 3:12 AM
383	Only slow electric motors which would reduce speeds.t	3/9/2017 1:52 AM
384	A full engineering study of the condition of the banks. A full legal assessment of liability. A full environmental study of the effects of motorized vessels in stirring up pollutants lying in the sediments on the bottom. Only electric motors to prevent noise pollution. Very small horsepower, i.e. trolling, so there is no wake.	3/9/2017 12:20 AM
385	Police presence and enforcement.	3/9/2017 12:10 AM
386	Speed limits, policing, strict enforcement.	3/8/2017 11:39 PM
387	I would expect there to be major sections of the canal, like the north and south ends, where not motorized boats are allowed. These should be physically separated by markers to prevent boats from entering.	3/8/2017 11:29 PM
388	Speed limits and police the waterway	3/8/2017 11:27 PM
389	A safety officer in a boat at least diring busy times	3/8/2017 10:44 PM
390	Lane ropes along the banks to continue to allow for swimming	3/8/2017 10:26 PM
391	Signage, regular in-water and on-shore patrolling. The city will need to be prepared to pay for this expenditure.	3/8/2017 10:06 PM
392	A greater police presence	3/8/2017 10:01 PM
393	Limited to certain areas	3/8/2017 7:58 PM
394	Limit motorized traffic to areas where non-motorized traffic is not in use.	3/8/2017 7:02 PM
395	the area policed at all times for speed and safety	3/8/2017 6:54 PM
396	Please do not permit this to happen. It's a precious place, quite unique, really. Anywhere can have motorized sport, but Welland is one of very few places with an abandoned waterway right in the middle of town. Please let us keep that as our "claim to fame".	3/8/2017 6:42 PM
397	Canal banks would be eroded with boats, their motors and trailers. City would need to invest in the infrastructure and policing of the waterway.	3/8/2017 5:47 PM

398	ensure somehow that traffic is policed.	3/8/2017 5:30 PM
399	A Police marine unitand a marine bylaw unit to do most of the enforcement.	3/8/2017 4:50 PM
400	You won't be able to ensure public safety because there will always be those boaters that don't follow any rules.	3/8/2017 4:10 PM
401	motor size, payment for use, supervision	3/8/2017 3:55 PM
402	ALLOW BOATS NORTH OF THE AQUADUCT	3/8/2017 3:38 PM
403	They just shouldn't allow it. Right now it is perfectly safe dor residents to use without increasing costs associated with safety prevention.	3/8/2017 2:40 PM
404	There aren't any steps that could be taken to ensure public safety. Such a move puts individuals at risk and puts the City and WRCC at greater financial risk due to law suits that result from any mishaps.	3/8/2017 1:12 PM
405	It should be treated like any other body of water in this province. It should be clearly marked with buoys and blocked out for safe usage. It has to remain "use at your own risk"like back in the day when frivilous law suits didn't exisit. Licencing for motor vehicles is necessary nowso it's nice to know that most who are in the water are at minimum aware of proper water rules and etiquette.	3/8/2017 9:55 AM
406	Clearly marked areas for motorized use.	3/8/2017 9:53 AM
407	I don't know how it can be policedgood luck!	3/8/2017 9:27 AM
408	Small horsepower motors and boundaries	3/8/2017 8:58 AM
409	Speed restrictions	3/8/2017 8:32 AM
410	All motor apparatus must be licensed, pass a safety and the people operating them be licensed too. Proof of insurance and must sign waivers for liability to pay for damages and not hold the City responsible.	3/8/2017 7:38 AM
411	Police should be there at all times to watch for drinking and driving	3/8/2017 7:06 AM
412	Buoyed off areas, paramedics, info centre	3/8/2017 3:19 AM
413	You could put motor boats in restricted area's of the canal.	3/8/2017 1:32 AM
414	The entire length of the waterway would have to be policed 24/7. As it is over the past 7 years that I have been using this waterway, there have been illegal motor vehicles using the pathways, teens illegally jumping off the bridges, endangering the dragon boaters and the speed limit for the parking lot road is never adhered to. The waterway is busy enough with non-motorized craft and swimmers. I do not see what part of the waterway motorized craft would use without having non-motorized craft alongside, which cannot work. This is a beautiful waterway which needs to stay this way!	3/7/2017 11:04 PM
415	This should not even be considered. If it is then only small motors used.	3/7/2017 10:14 PM
416	Patrolsinformation sessionsliability limitations	3/7/2017 10:14 PM
417	Time restrictions and/or area restrictions, possibly. But sharing the water works just fine with no restrictions in St. Catharines amd Port Colborne	3/7/2017 9:24 PM
418	Police presence on the waterway to ensure safety of human powered boats, and the sobriety of power boaters	3/7/2017 6:59 PM
419	A Coast Guard presence, police and safety boats on the water. Who will be monitoring?	3/7/2017 6:54 PM
420	I don't believe powercraft should be allowed on the waterways, but if they were it should only be during the time that it was not being used by the flatwater sports. The City should also provide on-water policing while the powercraft are using the waterways.	3/7/2017 6:54 PM
421	Police patrols with boats and/or Seadoos	3/7/2017 5:50 PM
422	I would expect a manned boat launch to collect fees, check for boat liscences, safety equipment and to ensure there is no alcohol on board	3/7/2017 5:22 PM
423	Proper policing; security surveillance	3/7/2017 5:13 PM
424	noise limits, motor size limits, speed limits, designated lanes	3/7/2017 5:12 PM
425	Proper safety procedures; proper policing	3/7/2017 5:09 PM
426	Control access of boats, both time and place. Limit areas of motorized vehicles, away from rowing, swimming and canoeing areas. Special permits.	3/7/2017 5:07 PM
427	Let's hope that this motorized traffic never happens. happen.	3/7/2017 3:45 PM

428	I truly hope this doesn't happen but if it does then it should be limited to small craft with small engines. Also a limited area should be established to ensure that he paddlers are safe. Paddling activities would have to be stopped where the motorized vehicles are allowed. I would not let my children paddle where the motorized vehicles are allowed.	3/7/2017 3:17 PM
429	different sections for different use	3/7/2017 2:55 PM
430	Rigorous enforcement of speed limits, wake rules and compliance with provincial and federal laws regarding boating safety	3/7/2017 1:29 PM
431	Restrictions on times and areas of permitted use.	3/7/2017 1:24 PM
432	I would support video surveillance to help enforcement of rules. I think there should be sections for powered watercraft and sections for non-powered due to the inherent wake sizes causing issues for canoes, etc. Non-powered can go anywhere, but powered must stay in their area. Non-powered should stay close to shore when in the powered area. Minimum distance must be maintained between all watercraft when underway. Jetskis / Seadoos must exercise caution before making sharp turns. Establish a hotline for quick reporting of safety concerns that can be responded to in real-time. Charge a boat launch fee to offset costs. Put up a website with the rules, and signs at all boat launches that have the rules listed, and the website listed for any rule changes, along with the hotline number to report safety concerns. When growing up, the only safety concerns we ever had as lifelong, responsible boaters was when idiots would smoke weed or drink alcohol and then go boating, or they would loan their jetski to someone with no experience or watercraft operator license. Other than that, we always felt it was quite safe.	3/7/2017 1:02 PM
433	Restricted area and speed limit	3/7/2017 12:22 PM
434	Public safety would be compromised and could not be ensured if motorized traffic was permitted on the waterway.	3/7/2017 11:41 AM
435	only very small motors, like in fishing boats, and speed limits, so no there is no wake	3/7/2017 9:54 AM
436	Dont allow it! There will little or no over site bevause it costs money.	3/7/2017 8:49 AM
437	Marine patrol and enforcement of marine and drinking laws	3/7/2017 8:11 AM
438	Should the City of Welland move in that direction, it is most likely those responsible would not be re-elected.	3/7/2017 6:49 AM
439	ı	3/6/2017 11:50 PM
440	certain days/times for certain usage	3/6/2017 10:30 PM
441	Licensing and enforcement	3/6/2017 10:30 PM
442	Create user code of ethics, bylaw enforcement	3/6/2017 10:19 PM
443	The canal is very large and an out of sight out of mind attitude soon takes over when enforcement is too expensive. Once a change is made it will be difficult to go back to current conditions.	3/6/2017 10:17 PM
444	Electrical motors with limited HP.	3/6/2017 10:12 PM
445	Too narrow for fast boat traffic speed limit enlforcement posted só kayaks and canoes feel safe.	3/6/2017 9:13 PM
446	they should not !!!	3/6/2017 9:02 PM
447	Policing.	3/6/2017 6:52 PM
448	Signs of waterway courtesy. Boaters have licences and have taken a gov. test so they should know the rules.	3/6/2017 6:34 PM
449	zones for various activities Boats to travel but no personal watercraft maybe speed limits	3/6/2017 4:10 PM
450	Limited hours to use when recreational programs are involved	3/6/2017 3:35 PM
451	Enforced Restrictions on speeds, size, hours,	3/6/2017 3:31 PM
452	Specified days and times for different types of watercrafts and uses. Preferably broken down into three core areas, without overlap.	3/6/2017 3:18 PM
453	Same as road safety laws ,boat owner are responsible for there own actions , same as driving a car thru town . No difference .	3/6/2017 2:47 PM
454	Restrict boat access to extremely limited hours, so other people can still enjoy it.	3/6/2017 2:42 PM
455	rules, procedures, staff, enforcement, police presence, etc.	3/6/2017 2:16 PM
456	No extra steps necessary - just keep the existing ban. The motorboaters have lots of other places to go.	3/6/2017 2:01 PM
457	More security	3/6/2017 1:58 PM
458	Shared schedule with flat water conoeing for everyone's saftey	3/6/2017 1:55 PM

459	Limiting speed and noise production, and possibly the number of vehicles on the water simultaneously.	3/6/2017 1:50 PM
460	Probably i would walk somewhere else, thereby lessening the chance of me throwing something at people in motor boats.	3/6/2017 1:29 PM
461	Separate zones should be ENFORCED although I do not believe motorized boats would respect this. Think of the potential for deaths and the liability issues!	3/6/2017 1:21 PM
462	better docks and end stops for trailers	3/6/2017 1:08 PM
463	Speed limits enforcement , insurence enforcement, noise enforcement	3/6/2017 12:37 PM
464	Absolutely no open season: anywhere, anywhen, and anything. Rules must be clear, specific, restrictive and their respect must be duly enforced at all times.	3/6/2017 12:26 PM
465	Only tiny motors that are used to help propel a canoe. No large motors or jet skis.	3/6/2017 12:00 PM
466	I would like to see a code of conduct or ethics be adopted by the City in order to ensure public safety. This code would, unfortunately, have to be policed in order to ensure compliance. Anyone found in violation of this code and therefore public safety should have their permit revoked or face another penalty (e.g., financial).	3/6/2017 10:44 AM
467	policing 24/7	3/6/2017 10:24 AM
468	Signage to designate allowed areas and patrols	3/6/2017 10:02 AM
469	Dedicated motorized boating areas and times	3/6/2017 7:21 AM
470	Maybe 4strokes only and zones for different activities	3/5/2017 7:25 PM
471	specific areas for non-motorized use	3/5/2017 6:05 PM
472	corrdon off the entire scuba park from any and all boat traffic	3/5/2017 4:45 PM
473	Vaslid drivers license,no dwi -speeding or other driving convictions	3/5/2017 1:50 PM
474	That would be for the city to say including the cost.	3/5/2017 1:37 PM
475	restricted hours, speeds and designated areas.	3/5/2017 1:12 PM
476	Not allow paddlers and swimmers in the same area or at the same time as motorized crafts.	3/5/2017 12:52 PM
477	Do not want change	3/5/2017 12:38 PM
478	Permits and strict enforcement.	3/5/2017 11:43 AM
479	limited hours allowed to allow for calm waters for the sports programs on the water in the morning and evenings - morning calm water to 10:30 and evening calm water as of 4pm	3/5/2017 11:33 AM
480	Constant monitoring	3/5/2017 10:01 AM
481	Dui checks, police patrols and ennforcement. Public education. Limit amount of motorised vehicles on water at a given time.	3/5/2017 8:45 AM
482	Do not see how you could possibly ensure public safety ,have seen people driving their Quads on the Canal bank all the time breaking lots of rules that apply to safety ,no one cares !!!	3/5/2017 2:40 AM
483	Persons operating pleasure craft have to abide by all existing regulations through the canada shipping act and criminal code.	3/5/2017 2:13 AM
484	The same as any other waterway in Ontario where boats are present	3/5/2017 12:15 AM
485	Highly supervised for licenses, alcohol and maximum horse power restrictions.	3/4/2017 11:36 PM
486	Absolutely no wake at anytime, for swimmers and divers.	3/4/2017 11:35 PM
487	Welland	3/4/2017 11:26 PM
488	I hope that won't happen. Motorized boats are required to reduce their speed when passing a kayak canoe, sailboat, etc. I just don't see why motorized boats don't use the lakes, which are close enough and offer great opportunity for the boats to travel as fast and as far as they want.	3/4/2017 10:55 PM
489	I think that current sports should stay where they are. Motorized boats could use the area from Woodlawn Road to the 406. There could be a new boat launch out there. If that proves too costly, a no wake lane could be established from the existing North dock to Woodlawn bridge. There would have to be certain hours established and some type of patrol.	3/4/2017 9:43 PM
490	safe area for swimming, traithlon training	3/4/2017 9:21 PM

491	there would need to be zones to protect each group	3/4/2017 9:11 PM
492	no seadoo 9.9hpmax outboard	3/4/2017 7:33 PM
493	Marked No boating zone at the scuba park areas	3/4/2017 6:58 PM
494	.Police coverage is the only way to protect users and we shouldn't pay extra for it.	3/4/2017 6:48 PM
495	10kph max	3/4/2017 5:18 PM
496	Banning motorized activities on the waterway.	3/4/2017 5:11 PM
497	As already noted gas motors i do not approve of. Electric motors perhaps at various posted times (could have some leeway for rowers but saftey of others in water still issue). Not sure who would be in charge of enforcing	3/4/2017 4:34 PM
498	The moment the City of Welland approves motorized vehicles on the recreational waterway, no matter what safety steps are taken, the city is opening itself up to litigation if a flat water participant is injured by motorized traffic.	3/4/2017 3:38 PM
499	Remove all such vehicles.	3/4/2017 1:38 PM
500	More police presence	3/4/2017 1:14 PM
501	Major	3/4/2017 12:38 PM
502	CONSTANT policing to avoid drinking and rowdiness	3/4/2017 12:16 PM
503	I don't believe you can adequately enforce safe by-laws for motorized vehicles on the canal. There will be people who will not respect the laws, as there are with all laws and by-laws. Unless the city is prepared to have enforcement boats on the canal during all daylight hours, this will not work.	3/4/2017 12:10 PM
504	Speed limits	3/4/2017 11:53 AM
505	speed restricted to 15km/hr and ENFORCED. no thru hull exhaust	3/4/2017 11:23 AM
506	Size of motor and boat. Also the number of boats on the water way with motors gas or electric should be limited.	3/4/2017 10:58 AM
507	Police patrols with boats and/or Seadoos	3/4/2017 10:44 AM
508	I would expect that the area would be monitored and policed closely. Possibly lifeguards placed in the area as well	3/4/2017 10:10 AM
509	Monitoring by coast Guard or other	3/4/2017 9:50 AM
510	You wl'll have to rope off large swimming areas and even then the waves could be adverse.	3/4/2017 9:49 AM
511	With all due respect I don't see how any steps could be taken to ensure public safety. What would it look like? Police boats on the water? I don't think it's possible to keep everyone safe with both kinds of water traffic on the canal. Is a seado going to see the swimmers, kayakers? Are they going to slow down for the canoes and the paddle board folks? It's such a strange notion that the city thinks it could keep these people safe. If there were special event permits given I would expect the city to notify the public in a big way, such as , radio, newspaper and social media reports.	3/4/2017 9:36 AM
512	roped off swimming area	3/4/2017 9:24 AM
513	Not sure.	3/4/2017 9:15 AM
514	I hope this NEVER comes to fruition, not even on a trial basis.	3/4/2017 8:57 AM
515	No amount of public safety will protect a swimmer from a motorized boat.	3/4/2017 8:56 AM
516	We'd need water police	3/4/2017 8:53 AM
517	Times and terms of use	3/4/2017 8:52 AM
518	A highly visible swim lane markers installed. Also massive signs warning boaters to watch for swimmers and others	3/4/2017 8:33 AM
519	I hope they don't. There is no way they can enforce the safety 24/7. It would become very costly for the city (a much un-needed expense) to properly police the activities of the canal. The best way to ensure Welland's public safety is to keep motorized vehicles out.	3/4/2017 7:30 AM
520	there should not be motor boats	3/4/2017 12:45 AM
521	Maybe a NO WAKE POLICY. Very slow speed limits.	3/3/2017 11:38 PM
522	I would not like to see this cost put on the common tax payer.	3/3/2017 11:36 PM
523	I would expect the city to reverse the movement	3/3/2017 11:19 PM
524	COMMON SENSE BY ALL USERS.	3/3/2017 10:05 PM

525	Law suits	3/3/2017 9:37 PM
526	Not sure	3/3/2017 9:27 PM
527	Police presence on the waterway to regulate speed and ensure safety, barriers in place to allow safe areas for athletes to train without fear of motorcraft	3/3/2017 9:13 PM
528	Speed limits, motor size, maybe only allow motor boats for 4-6 hours instead of the entire day.	3/3/2017 9:11 PM
529	Marked areas for non motorized and swimming lane and hefty fines for misuse. Security patrolled	3/3/2017 8:46 PM
530	Ideally, motorized boats would be restricted from areas where non-motorized boats are heavily used (e.g., the rental boat launch), or at least creating designated lanes	3/3/2017 8:40 PM
531	The city should involve the the CCG Office of Boating safety, hire the regional police marine unit to police the waterway during permitted hours and a rigid fine system should be employed with significant dollar value fines	3/3/2017 8:34 PM
532	Simple policing like most cities do with there recreational waterways	3/3/2017 8:24 PM
533	Stringent enforcement of existing boating laws. Levies and licensing that covers all insurance and maintenance costs	3/3/2017 7:53 PM
534	There would have to be a posted schedule. The waterway is too narrow to accomodate rowers, swimmers, canoes etc	3/3/2017 7:47 PM
535	Speed limit, turnaround zones, no stopping zones, and swimming areas.	3/3/2017 7:42 PM
536	Perhaps permit motorized water craft only on certain days of the week. Also, there would have to be some kind of patrols on hand.	3/3/2017 7:32 PM
537	Wear lifejackets. Don't mix alcohol and boating. Certain speed limits. No racing with motorized boats. I am adding this here because there is nowhere to write it for the next question. There should be no permits because anyone should be allowed to use motorized boats in the canal.	3/3/2017 7:09 PM
538	An NRPS, OPP or a ministry of natural resources presence on the waterway to ensure safety and enforcement of applicable laws	3/3/2017 7:01 PM
539	speed limit, operators must have a valid power boat permit. Age limit?	3/3/2017 6:56 PM
540	do not allow personal water craft in the canal. They are high speed craft that should not be run in the narrow canal.	3/3/2017 6:01 PM
541	GIVE MOTOR BOATS A SECTION OF THE CANAL ON THE SOUTH END OF THE DAM	3/3/2017 5:42 PM
542	Certain areas should be restricted to motorized boats, such as, the PenFinancial Rental area and beyond.	3/3/2017 5:40 PM
543	An election.	3/3/2017 4:59 PM
544	separate sections of the waterway reserved for differing avtivities	3/3/2017 4:29 PM
545	I sincerely hope they do not allow it	3/3/2017 4:26 PM
546	I would expect that the City would not do this in the first place. If the City were so misguided as to allow motorized traffic, there would have to be a very low horsepower limit. But don't do it!	3/3/2017 3:43 PM
547	Police on the water daily	3/3/2017 3:34 PM
548	None accidents are going to happen	3/3/2017 3:31 PM
549	Have a large eniugh section of the waterway enclosed to accomodate safe use for swimmers and scuba divers, and have someone monitor the area.	3/3/2017 3:28 PM
550	I do not support permitting motorized traffic on the Recreational Waterway.	3/3/2017 3:24 PM
551	DO NOT DO IT. You should have a coast guard station set up and someone monitoring the waterway by craft 24 hours a day. There will be a lot of drinking and my assumption- deaths, if you pursue this.	3/3/2017 3:20 PM
552	Signs posted along the canal about boat safety	3/3/2017 3:13 PM
553	There are no possible ways I would feel safe, the canal is narrow enough. Adding boats would put the canal unsafe for swimmers.	3/3/2017 3:09 PM
554	boating regulations and public scrutiny should suffice	3/3/2017 3:09 PM
555	Designated swimming areas roped off	3/3/2017 3:06 PM
556	I think people should be fined for unsafe practises and banned if such behaviour happens again. Rules for use should be clearly posted at dock areas.	3/3/2017 3:01 PM

557	None, it won't be possible to manage without constant supervision. Allowing this to happen would be a crying shame.	3/3/2017 3:01 PM
558	Well defined areas for the motorized vessels and a improved swim area/Lane designation.	3/3/2017 2:57 PM
559	Speeds, restricted areas, policing.	3/3/2017 2:15 PM
560	I don't think you can ensure public safety with motorized boats.	3/3/2017 1:57 PM
561	Maybe have a max speed allowed so that people aren't zipping by at 100km/hr but at the same time don't punish someone wanting to take their motorboat out to go fishing on the weekend	3/3/2017 1:48 PM
562	Safety First - Mixing non-motorized and motorized activies will result in death. It's a large body of water. You should have motor boat and jetski access between woodlawn and the 406. Small engines and paddle power between Woodlawn and Townline. With the exception of special events. Maybe we can generate cash for a swimming attraction. Beach. Destination islands. Safety First - you need to keep dangerous motorists away from swimmers and non-motorized boats.	3/3/2017 1:48 PM
563	Standard Maintenance protocol, for examlple, every 2 years have personell measure the erosion against the side of the canal and bridges, preform maintenance accordingly(seal off where the crack is, drain it, fix it, remove sealoff) install Caution signs on the pillar of bridges for better visual for skiiers as well as motor boat opperators.	3/3/2017 1:37 PM
564	Maximum speed limitation. Maximum HP limitation. Hours of use. Enforcement 7 days per week.	3/3/2017 1:35 PM
565	no wake jumping for jetskis	3/3/2017 1:17 PM
566	limit the area where motorized traffic could go	3/3/2017 1:12 PM
567	Water Police to enforce speed limits and consideration of other people using the waterwaygood luck with that	3/3/2017 12:46 PM
568	BAN ALL MOTORBOATS FROM THE CANAL,IF NOT,Permit a to speed of 10 KM per Hour!!!	3/3/2017 12:36 PM
569	Similar to Chippawa creek- you can police it/have first aid boats etc	3/3/2017 12:26 PM
570	Posted speed limits like we used to have. Designated areas for boating and fishing.	3/3/2017 12:24 PM
571	I don't know if that's possible. Motor boaters will want to go fast and the water way is a small area.	3/3/2017 12:23 PM
572	Up the water patrol GREATLY. Have areas completely blocked off so they cannot go through. Ex. The rental program area	3/3/2017 12:14 PM
573	The plain truth is that the city can not afford the policing "with teeth" that is needed to control reckless speeding or stunting let alone impaired vehicle use on the waterway. Ypo would have to charge hundreds of dollars a day to provide what is needed. Then the legal costs of fighting court battles and insuranceThe city does not need the headaches.	3/3/2017 12:11 PM
574	Motorized traffic will stop me from using the canal no matter what safety precautions are taken	3/3/2017 12:02 PM
575	Enforce boating licenses and a reasonable speed limit. Enforce no drinking and driving!	3/3/2017 11:59 AM
576	Limit use to north of Lincoln St.	3/3/2017 11:49 AM
577	low speed limit, buoys to separate motorized traffic from everyone else	3/3/2017 11:25 AM
578	A designated area for motorized or non-motorized vehicles.	3/3/2017 11:12 AM
579	I force all safety standards	3/3/2017 10:57 AM
580	speed limits along the canal.Police monitoring.	3/3/2017 10:55 AM
581	enforcement of all safety standerds	3/3/2017 10:55 AM
582	SEPERATE AREA FOR SWIMMING AND BOATING ALSO NO BOATING AFTER 7PM OR FINED	3/3/2017 10:47 AM
583	policing, maintence of shores, safety	3/3/2017 10:44 AM
584	Signage to tell people the rulesENFORCEMENT	3/3/2017 10:41 AM
585	It would be good to have different areas for different uses. For example, by the flatwater centre for swimming and non-motorized boats with a rope across to block off from the rest that would be for motorized boats. Boat operators need a safety license and it would be good to have someone monitoring at busy times to ensure the boat operators follow the rules they are supposed to. Seadoos are the most annoying. You might consider banning seadoos or making a special area for them.	3/3/2017 10:30 AM
586	Restricted access, not interfering with non motorized boats	3/3/2017 10:27 AM
587	can see my taxes increasing to cover the cost of enforcement and rescues	3/3/2017 10:20 AM

588	must be 18 and over. Have police boat out on waterway	3/3/2017 10:10 AM
589	Limited hours, speed limits, motor sized limits, usage limits and LOTS of marine police presence. There should be sectioned areas specific for the use for all non-motorized traffic.	3/3/2017 10:09 AM
590	Banning motorized traffic completely during certain times	3/3/2017 9:50 AM
591	More visible lanes for open water swimming and some sort of enforcement for those who do not comply	3/3/2017 9:47 AM
592	I would expect safety to be the prime concern, but, would just assume the city would do nothing. As they did previously. The city doesn't even enforce the dog excrement by law- allowing the city to be littered with dog excrement- Therefore given the lackadaisacal attitude the city demonstrates regarding the poop and scoop- I would expect equal lethargy regarding safety, litter and toxic pollution in the canal. The city has shown itself to be effective at making by laws as a form of grandstanding.	3/3/2017 9:43 AM
593	common sense	3/3/2017 9:42 AM
594	Seadoo's and small craft only with regular enforcement	3/3/2017 9:37 AM
595	Speed limits in place	3/3/2017 9:32 AM
596	Common Sense. Keep the rowers to a segregated section. Allow motoboats to pass by dive areas on a sepecific side of the canal, close to the bank (like a roadway).	3/3/2017 9:22 AM
597	enforcw the rules so the bylaw officer will need a boat. :-)	3/3/2017 9:06 AM
598	24/7 policing with ZERO tolerance for violators: a service that is Impossible to provide considering limited resources (manpower & patrol craft). Who, exactly, is going to finance this enforcement? Similarly, if fines are going to be issued under a municipal bylaw, do mechanisims even exist to enable collection of those fines? Again, who is going to pay for administration of same?	3/3/2017 9:04 AM
599	strict speed limits and motor size limits, no water skiing	3/3/2017 9:00 AM
600	As a MINIMUM, certain areas used for SCUBA should be maintained as power-boat free otherwise, it's inevitable that a serious or fatal accident will occur. Signs to make boaters are of the DIver Down Flag would need to be posted. Power boats would need to stay clear of areas where diving is underway (as designated by use of dive flag) and this exclusion would need to be enforced by law enforcement	3/3/2017 8:58 AM
601	policing and designated days of activity	3/3/2017 8:53 AM
602	Full time lifeguard staff to protect non-motorized users, active enforcement of boating laws	3/3/2017 8:48 AM
603	hire staff to maintain and supervise its programs, set rules and restrictions for use. Enforce these rules.	3/3/2017 8:46 AM
604	There is no possible way that the safety of the public could be ensured. When could not afford the man power that would be needed.	3/3/2017 8:38 AM
605	I think it will kill all other recreational uses	3/3/2017 8:31 AM
606	Motor size limit, speed limit	3/3/2017 8:30 AM
607	I'd expect police boats on the water at all times to ensure the current users of the canal, especially divers are safe from moter boats. If a boat were to strike and kill or injure a diver just to sauce them a 15 minute drive it would cost a lot more then a few officers and a boat.	3/3/2017 8:15 AM
608	Boaters have to have a special licence, proof of insurance, only allowed in certain areas and boats would have to meet a safety checkup.	3/3/2017 8:14 AM
609	Police patrol for individuals breaking laws	3/3/2017 7:56 AM
610	Police enforcement on water at all times	3/3/2017 7:39 AM
611	hopefully doesnot happen	3/3/2017 7:39 AM
612	I'd like the laws enforced, specifically the laws regarding not running over divers. Maybe actually having people on site.	3/3/2017 6:29 AM
613	Physical separation of canal sections. motorboat must not be allowed where the are swimmers or paddle craft.	3/3/2017 5:51 AM
614	honestly its just a danger to everyone as the row/dragon boats take one side of the river and then the swimmers take the other bit the wakes disturb everyone especially the rowers and i dont feel safe having spinning propellers in water that at times isnt the most visable legitimately putting my life and others at risk there are so many other places to boat leave this to people doing better things with their free time. also lets be real if you allow sea doos all they will do is recklessly skid arond going at max speed thats all they do	3/3/2017 4:00 AM

615	I would no longer attend the waterway.	3/3/2017 3:34 AM
616	certain safe swim zones	3/3/2017 3:06 AM
617	only safety vehicles.	3/3/2017 3:03 AM
618	speed limit,	3/3/2017 2:09 AM
619	Make a beach specifically for swimmer's to swim, and no boats, sea doos of the like allowed in that part of the water. The canal is long and big and should be able to occupy everyone, and maybe have a patrol unit every couple hours. which I think should already be in place, water can bring trouble and boats no boats there should always be some sort of watch on the canal	3/3/2017 1:57 AM
620	No wake through designated zones, attended boat launches	3/3/2017 1:02 AM
621	Specific dates motorized boats are allowed.	3/3/2017 1:00 AM
622	more policing, no jet skies or boats with motors over 10 HP	3/3/2017 12:51 AM
623	Policing when open to motorboats	3/3/2017 12:38 AM
624	It cant be controlled. Please leave stays quo	3/3/2017 12:21 AM
625	Designate areas for motorized & non-motorized traffic.	3/3/2017 12:07 AM
626	Punitive measures taken against offenders.	3/3/2017 12:06 AM
627	I believe the only way to ensure public safety is to not allow motorized vehicles on the Recreational Waterway	3/3/2017 12:02 AM
628	Signage stating motor boats present.	3/3/2017 12:02 AM
629	If it wasn't there there would be no question of public safety.	3/2/2017 11:41 PM
630	Damn straight!	3/2/2017 11:30 PM
631	monitoring of all boaters, to ensure they have proper licence - law enforcement of boating.	3/2/2017 11:29 PM
632	It would be a mistake. Motors are premitted on the Welland River right next door.	3/2/2017 11:29 PM
633	Don't do it!!!! Welland recreational waterway is beautiful and unique! Please don't ruin it for people! Motorized watercraft are dirty, noisy and unsafe. A few people will ruin enjoyment for many.	3/2/2017 11:22 PM
634	The canal should have no wake zones	3/2/2017 11:09 PM
635	Don't do it	3/2/2017 11:09 PM
636	speed limits, patroled enforcement, dedicated officers.	3/2/2017 11:08 PM
637	There is NO WAY to ensure public safety if motorized boats are allowed on the Recreational Waterway. It would need 24 hour water patrol policing even if there were to be a posted speed limit, it would not be adhered to, Alcohol and boating dont mix but continues to be a issue regardless, deaths due to drunk boat drivers, Sea doo's, Boats towing Tuberswater polution in the form of boat fuel and oil, boaters litter like beer bottles/cans/chip bags/paper plates and to much more to name	3/2/2017 11:06 PM
638	Limiting the area where they are allowed.	3/2/2017 11:00 PM
639	Specified areas/times for different activities. Privilege abusers being banned from operating in the canal. No jet skis/sea doos.	3/2/2017 10:56 PM
640	Speed limits	3/2/2017 10:54 PM
641	No acceptable steps could be taken. Boaters and jetski users have zero care for who is below the water. New divers who are learning often come up to the surface unexpectedly for many reasons. A safe training environment that we have now will be lost	3/2/2017 10:52 PM
642	Speed limits in area's of concern.	3/2/2017 10:38 PM
643	Designated areas for different types of water crafts	3/2/2017 10:37 PM
644	Speed limits in certain areas	3/2/2017 10:31 PM
645	Boats must be aware of divers, must take a test to understand/acknowledge dive flags and how to go about interacting with persons in/under water safely.	3/2/2017 10:31 PM
646	Police boats, many signs for rules, speeds, buoys,etc.	3/2/2017 10:25 PM

647	No wakes. Constant Police presence. This is a small waterway. Motorized boats etc make it dangerous. People have houses, condos etc and they enjoy the beauty of the area. Allowing motorized aquatic vehicles ruins that.	3/2/2017 10:23 PM
648	Some type of curfewdust to dawn?	3/2/2017 10:23 PM
649	speed limits and designated areas.	3/2/2017 10:23 PM
650	Signage warning of divers, NRPD enforcement, speed restrictions, no power boats on waterway after dusk	3/2/2017 10:22 PM
651	Only small watercraft no jetskis/seadoos ect	3/2/2017 10:14 PM
652	Zones	3/2/2017 10:04 PM
653	Only acceptable during certain hours.	3/2/2017 9:58 PM
654	only small motors, like those for trolling for fish should be allowed. Absolutely no jetskis or waterskiing should be allowed - the poor sight lines because of the bends in the canal will increase the likelihood of collisions, especially at higher speeds. Public safety also includes well-being, and so no noisy motors should be allowed, disturbing those using the trails, parks, or living nearby.	3/2/2017 9:46 PM
655	Dead Slow signs, No Wake signs. Patrolled to insure compliance	3/2/2017 9:44 PM
656	Clear marked signs warning of scuba divers and swimmers	3/2/2017 9:44 PM
657	follow all operating a motorcraft laws	3/2/2017 9:39 PM
658	Not in favor of this.	3/2/2017 9:39 PM
659	Whatever is necessary. Proper policing and enforcement of laws and public safety.	3/2/2017 9:38 PM
660	That would be a liability issue for experts to solve.	3/2/2017 9:36 PM
661	There will need to be constant monitoring of the area and specific areas for all activities. This will require increased costs the city cannot afford.	3/2/2017 9:32 PM
662	I don't think that there is enough that the city could do to ensure public safety.	3/2/2017 9:28 PM
663	Restricted areas that are barriered to protect there current uses.	3/2/2017 9:27 PM
664	Police posted 7 days a week to assure that boaters and sea doos respect others safety	3/2/2017 9:27 PM
665	None	3/2/2017 9:25 PM
666	The city could not do anywhere near enough to police the canal. Do not allow motorized watercraft.	3/2/2017 9:18 PM
667	Policing of all boats to ensure motor size, usage and if any alcohol is on board. No water skiing as it is too dangerous around the bridges	3/2/2017 9:11 PM
668	Public education via communications and NRPS to deal with violations as they do in other local waters	3/2/2017 9:10 PM
669	proper water envorcement by OPP marine units	3/2/2017 9:04 PM
670	As explained this wil coat the City of Welland an immense amount of money. The NRP do not have the resources to facilitate this.	3/2/2017 9:02 PM
671	Proper policing	3/2/2017 9:01 PM
672	Effective and visible patrolling and enforcement.	3/2/2017 9:01 PM
673	Support nature safety, i.e. clean water. Greatly reduced speed limits with major fines.	3/2/2017 8:59 PM
674	Monitored 24/7, on the water by someone with authority.	3/2/2017 8:56 PM
675	Wouldn't care, because non-motorized use would effectively disappear.	3/2/2017 8:51 PM
676	Somehow a sharing of the waterway whether having it sectioned off or a schedule for alternate days of use for different events and activities	3/2/2017 8:46 PM
677	Well, the way your question is framed, it already sounds like the City of Welland has already made up their mind. But I wholeheartedly believe the City will be unable to ensure public safety, and based on their track record, they will waste tens of thousands of dollars hiring a 3rd party, or overpaying their own employees.	3/2/2017 8:46 PM
678	Acceptable time periods for motorized activities. Limit it to times or days of the week. Lots of divers will no longer come to the area and enjoy the after diving amenities like the restaurants if this changes or it becomes limiting. We will just look to toronto for a safe venue to dive and socialize.	3/2/2017 8:46 PM
679	No wake in some locations	3/2/2017 8:40 PM

680	Actually have by-law officers on the water enforcing the law - no point in putting a law into place when you can't enforce it. You can' divide the canal for swimmers versus boaters or kayakers. It is a danger to the small water sports allowing boats.	3/2/2017 8:40 PM
681	No after dusk, No alcohol on water, And life jackets a must, Must be with an adult if your under 18 so you dont get punks trying to party on the water,,,	3/2/2017 8:40 PM
682	There must be zones protected for swimming, rowing, canoe, scuba and snorkeling, and childrens recreation where no motorized boats are permitted	3/2/2017 8:21 PM
683	More law enforcement presence and fines for misuse and signage cluttering the area	3/2/2017 8:20 PM
684	Limited times and place restrictions/ pollution and water contamination prevention measures	3/2/2017 8:09 PM
685	Will not be able to	3/2/2017 8:08 PM
686	Signage stating current laws and rules, detailed safety signs at ramps and accesses.	3/2/2017 8:06 PM
687	sepervision	3/2/2017 8:06 PM
688	Designated areas and time for no motor-boats to ensure that the canal is being shared.	3/2/2017 8:05 PM
689	Adequate parking facilities	3/2/2017 7:57 PM
690	Nrp marine unit enforcement of laws	3/2/2017 7:55 PM
691	Not allow it	3/2/2017 7:53 PM
692	Motor size and awareness of divers	3/2/2017 7:52 PM
693	Proper policing, precise laws of usage, gated boat launch(s)-pay per use.	3/2/2017 7:51 PM
694	Boating license must be present if not a hard fine. Boat can be permitted during the night time but the boat must be well lit for other boaters or for anyone else on the waterway.	3/2/2017 7:50 PM
695	Maybe a specialized police waterway unit on duty full time.	3/2/2017 7:48 PM
696	Enfocrement but need to know the exact cost not some projected /estimated cost .	3/2/2017 7:44 PM
697	Limit the confines to North of the 406 bridge and south of the Lincoln street bridge.	3/2/2017 7:42 PM
698	Slot times for fishing, speed limits and maximum H.P. allowed	3/2/2017 7:42 PM
699	Some definite rules to control the flow of boats.	3/2/2017 7:25 PM
700	I do not believe if you permit motorized traffic you can sufficient police this waterway.	3/2/2017 7:24 PM
701	None. The rules of the water are already required for anyone with a license. Maybe a little bit of police patrol no different than the current lakes in the area.	3/2/2017 7:15 PM
702	No swimming in certain areas	3/2/2017 7:12 PM
703	Insurance and minimal police presence.	3/2/2017 6:53 PM
704	Make them pay a fee & keep it to small engines only	3/2/2017 6:51 PM
705	There's no way to ensure safety at all times, people will most likely abuse the privilege.	3/2/2017 6:38 PM
706	Regular patrols, signage to indicate rules and report infringing parties.	3/2/2017 6:24 PM
707	In my experience no steps will be a total deterrent to high risk behaviour.	3/2/2017 6:24 PM
708	Police boats present	3/2/2017 6:21 PM
709	Considering what the insurance ramification would be I suggest that the City of Welland does not move to permit motorized traffic. The boaters seem to have a loud voice for a small population. The canal is best left as it is, please don't ruin it.	3/2/2017 6:15 PM
710	Constant police presence to enforce speed and impairment laws	3/2/2017 6:12 PM
711	Police monitoring would be nice, highly visible signage with rules at a minimum.	3/2/2017 6:06 PM
712	A DESIGNATED AREA FAR FROM THE CORE AREA OF WELLAND	3/2/2017 6:05 PM
713	Motorized traffic on the RW would be a travesty. I would seriously consider a move from Welland.	3/2/2017 5:59 PM
714	enforcement of the set rules	3/2/2017 5:56 PM

715	As above: new regulations regarding usage, parking, hours of use, traffic patter flow, and consider user fees for launching and usage to cover enforcement of rules.	3/2/2017 5:50 PM
716	ALOT OF POLICING	3/2/2017 5:50 PM
717	Specific areas for swimming/signs	3/2/2017 5:48 PM
718	Monitor activity	3/2/2017 5:47 PM
719	Nothing towed behind boatsno speedboats ,seadoos ect	3/2/2017 5:45 PM
720	I would not like to see this at all.	3/2/2017 5:42 PM
721	Leave it the way it is.	3/2/2017 5:37 PM
722	Specific zones and times for different users and enforcement of Transport Canada's rules for boats.	3/2/2017 5:34 PM
723	Cannot be safe once motorized traffic is allowed	3/2/2017 5:29 PM
724	I haven't a clue and If the City of Welland is asking citizens like me who is uneducated in such matters and is in no way able to assess all that is needed to ensure public safety, this is an even worse idea than I originally thought. It would require spending money to hire those with the appropriate knowledge/expertise and who would be paying for that?	3/2/2017 5:29 PM
725	The motorized boats should be used on the north side of Woodlawn bridge and not pass the south side of the bridge. That way the motor boats are not interfering with those that are currently being used in certain areas of the canal south side of the Woodlawn bridge.	3/2/2017 5:24 PM
726	Policing dawn to dusk as there will certainly be accidents with people learning/engaging in non-motorized water sports alongside motorized vehicles on the water.	3/2/2017 5:22 PM
727	Boaters are all expected to operate safelythere could be a section dedicated to a no wake zone around the boat launch area where swimmers are	3/2/2017 5:16 PM
728	Specific days for boating	3/2/2017 5:15 PM
729	Don't move to permit them.	3/2/2017 5:14 PM
730	Doubt any action would be taken	3/2/2017 5:12 PM
731	Motorized traffic should be limited to a specific area of the waterway, so that other areas can remain for "quiet" use.	3/2/2017 5:11 PM
732	Patrolled 24/7	3/2/2017 5:07 PM
733	stopping all the other great activities to make way for boaters only thinking of themselves s	3/2/2017 5:05 PM
734	No jetskis and set speed limits and heavy fines for rule breakers	3/2/2017 5:02 PM
735	Preferably only on certain sections of the canal	3/2/2017 5:01 PM
736	Noise & environmental enforcement	3/2/2017 5:01 PM
737	I never noticed a problem in the past.	3/2/2017 4:53 PM
738	Electric powered boats	3/2/2017 4:49 PM
739	Sections devoted to motorized and non motorized. Normal marine safety rules apply same as anywhere else.	3/2/2017 4:47 PM
740	Police Patrols, Enforcement. DUI checks at public launch.	3/2/2017 4:45 PM
741	Very strict control supervised by mature adults permitted to issue fines. Very very strict rules and set limits on the number of craft allowed at one time.	3/2/2017 4:44 PM
742	Speed limit, exclusion zones, - as for enforcement of small vessel regulations, that is up to law enforcement agencies.	3/2/2017 4:37 PM
743	Proper policing all hours of the day. Speed limits as well	3/2/2017 4:33 PM
744	Policing of patrols similar to the Welland river in Chippiwa by the red cross	3/2/2017 4:31 PM
745	Strict controls because a few that are disrespectful and dangerous can ruin it for others. It would be easy for someone to get hurt from a motorized boat flying down the canal not seeing a swimmer.	3/2/2017 4:31 PM
746	No gasoline engines can be permitted.	3/2/2017 4:27 PM
747	Restrict motor size to no more than 10 hp. Restrict hours of use. No evenings.	3/2/2017 4:06 PM
748	It shouldn't happen at all should all be motor-free at this point. There are tons of other waterways where motorized crafts can go	3/2/2017 4:02 PM

749	Who would ensure public safety? Niagara Regional Police? Do they have a police boat other than for rescue purposes? Will City of Welland help pay for a police boat to patrol the Recreational Waterway? I would like to see daily or more checks to ensure motorized pilots are not drunk, impaired by drugs or distracted on their phone while operating their vehicle. A speed limit on the waterway. Limited hours of use. Limited location of use, particularly on days when there are events at the WIFC.	3/2/2017 4:00 PM
750	Frankly some people on jet skis just do to understand rules.	3/2/2017 3:59 PM
751	Police vessels patrolling the entire waterway 24 hours a day; disignated areas for separate uses	3/2/2017 3:58 PM
752	Emergency services patrols 2. Life preservers along canal 3. Enforcement t of bylaws in regards to waterway use	3/2/2017 3:56 PM
753	limit speed limit to 5 km per hour	3/2/2017 3:54 PM
754	When there are special events going on they should not be allowed. Also there can be designated areas that can be used for the boaters.	3/2/2017 3:51 PM
755	There would absolutely have to be policing at all times all along the canal.	3/2/2017 3:48 PM
756	Police presence would be required to enforce drinking laws, boating safety laws and enforce no wake zones.	3/2/2017 3:48 PM
757	motors in= everyone else out	3/2/2017 3:43 PM
758	Areas cordoned off for paddling and swimming.	3/2/2017 3:42 PM
759	I expect the bottom of the canal to be inspected to ensure no large objects can harm the bottom of boats. I expect swimmers to be able to have a safe area to swim without having to worry about being hit by a motorized boat.	3/2/2017 3:40 PM
760	The OPP is responsible to police Ontario's waterways and boater's are required to have their boater's card. Keep the OPP informed of public use times and events.	3/2/2017 3:37 PM
761	Lifeguards or rescue personelle on duty at various points along the canal.	3/2/2017 3:33 PM
762	Cordoned off (buoyed) areas	3/2/2017 3:27 PM
763	Not allowing children.	3/2/2017 3:26 PM
764	Constant supervision would be necessary, which could become very costly.	3/2/2017 3:22 PM
765	We shouldn't move forward on this proposal and we should continue to restrict boaters from the canal.	3/2/2017 3:21 PM
766	I really hope it doesn't move in that direction. wou ld expect strict rules and I would expect strict rules and regulations put in place. That they are strictly enforced. Strict consequences put in place.	3/2/2017 3:20 PM
767	I don't know what you can so other than having your own boat out there enforcing safely laws.	3/2/2017 3:14 PM
768	Proper hours. Proper speed limits.	3/2/2017 3:12 PM
769	increased patrolling to insure safety to flat water users	3/2/2017 3:06 PM
770	Proper docks, police, licenses	3/2/2017 3:03 PM
771	If any wake-producing motorized boats are allowed, then the vulnerable users (swimmers, kayakers, canoers) should be protected from both dangerous wakes and from collisions. Education/Enforcement may be an issue - how will users know what the maximum motor restrictions are, and how will the City enforce this? Perhaps have designated launching locations with cameras or controlled-access gates for registered users. Public spaces should be alcohol-free. Create a hotline/tipline website for reporting of unsafe operation.	3/2/2017 3:00 PM
772	Major restrictions on speed and hours of use	3/2/2017 2:58 PM
773	The city should hire someone to just patrol the waterway to make sure boating rules are being followed, no alcohol is being consumed and that no one is endangering other boaters.	3/2/2017 2:44 PM
774	Warning signs for divers below . Posted at park. Had a hobby boat doing high speeds off dock . The guy did not know about divers in water .	3/2/2017 2:41 PM
775	Zones can be implemented for the sake of rowing competitions, etc. Keep in mind operators of motorized vessels are already legally required to be licenced and to operate vessels with due regard to safety and the law no different than driving a car down the road.	3/2/2017 2:37 PM
776	Strict enforcement of the limits in its use.	3/2/2017 2:35 PM
777	Police patrols more frequent. Zero wake laws. Lots of scuba divers and children are in the water. Plus wake board yoga classes.	3/2/2017 2:35 PM
778	Motorboats are not unsafe	3/2/2017 2:34 PM

779	I would want to see signage in place advising boaters of other people using the waterway & things to watch for, ie; dive flags/bubbles. I would also like to see a police presence on the waterway to enforce the rules. also there shold be speed limits clearly marked along with no wake zones.	3/2/2017 2:33 PM
780	Do not permit motorized traffic	3/2/2017 2:33 PM
781	I would like to see bylaw officer or someone hired to patrol or manage to docking. I don't feel the. Anal is wide enough for skiing or jetski use.	3/2/2017 2:30 PM
782	I don't know how they are going to police the waterway; someone was already killed on the water years ago because of skidoo, so I am unsure	3/2/2017 2:26 PM
783	Speed enforcement	3/2/2017 2:24 PM
784	No acceptable to have motorized traffic due to safety and erosion of the canal walls	3/2/2017 2:22 PM
785	Limit of lanes to separate motorized vehicles from non-motorized, no wake zones to minimize impact on paddlers	3/2/2017 2:20 PM
786	No different than boating on Lake Erie, Lake Ontario, Niagara River, Welland River. Boat operators need a provincial boat operator's permit.	3/2/2017 2:15 PM
787	strict rules that are enforced	3/2/2017 2:15 PM
788	It would be a terrible move to permit this.	3/2/2017 2:12 PM
789	give some people a job (walfare people) and work for pay check	3/2/2017 2:11 PM
790	Hefty charge to cover the cost of enforement and safety everytime that it is used	3/2/2017 2:11 PM
791	Speed and nuisance monitoring	3/2/2017 2:11 PM
792	The Welland River is acceptable for motor boats. Keep the canal for non motorized activities.	3/2/2017 2:09 PM
793	No matter what steps are planned, they never get enforced to any extent. No money for proper enforcement.	3/2/2017 2:04 PM
794	where would they be allow only by the old bridge.	3/2/2017 2:03 PM
795	Cameras, boat patrols, police presence, humane society presence, NPCA presence. Make the users pay for a permit and impose a minimum \$1,000 fine for operating a motorized craft on the canal without a permit.	3/2/2017 2:03 PM
796	Policing	3/2/2017 1:58 PM
797	Boaters should have valid insurance and could sign waivers at launch.	3/2/2017 1:58 PM
798	Covered this above (designated areas for non motorized and motorized activities to keep them separate). Also, specific days when motorized boats are not permitted (during the Canal Float, during canal side concerts etc) when the noise and safety risk would be heightened.	3/2/2017 1:54 PM
799	Very careful thought would have to be made and concern for liability would increase dramatically.	3/2/2017 1:51 PM
800	Would have to carry dive flag but most boaters dont know what they mean	3/2/2017 1:44 PM
801	There should be entry/exit points for scuba divers that are roped off from other traffic.	3/2/2017 1:44 PM
802	There should be very tight restrictions, limiting motorized traffic to a spe if OC area, with a very low speed limit	3/2/2017 1:38 PM
803	regular patrol to ensure compliance with current by-law	3/2/2017 1:38 PM
804	Bridge repairs and protection of non motorized users.I almost died in the canal after almost being hit by a seadoo while swimming in the past	3/2/2017 1:36 PM
805	Proper monitoring and strict enforcement	3/2/2017 1:35 PM
806	If there is no ability to enforce regulations then it should remain as is	3/2/2017 1:33 PM
807	Good luck with that - it would be difficult to enforce.	3/2/2017 1:30 PM
808	Make it for Family used only	3/2/2017 1:29 PM
809	Law enforcement on the water. Boat checks, etc. No different than lakes at cottages etc.	3/2/2017 1:29 PM
810	Dock checks to ensure motor size is acceptable and boat patrols to make sure people are being courteous and not endangering others.	3/2/2017 1:27 PM
811	Designated areas for motorized and non-motorized craft	3/2/2017 1:26 PM
812	I don't think the City should be spending money on to babysit the canal.	3/2/2017 1:26 PM

813	Have boats prohibited at the scuba park and swing bridge	3/2/2017 1:25 PM
814	Sectioned off days and times to protect open water swimmers and small boats such as rowing shellls and Canoe/kayak	3/2/2017 1:22 PM
815	Have a student employee having people sign a wiver when the launch their watercraft.	3/2/2017 1:21 PM
816	Enforceable rules and regulations. Monitoring of WRW. Acceptable use times. etc.	3/2/2017 1:16 PM
817	Speed limit and signs to be aware of scuba divers	3/2/2017 1:16 PM
818	Monitored/Patrolled and in designated areas for boating, swimming etc.	3/2/2017 1:12 PM
819	proper boat launches and parking. Restricted hours of use. Restricted speeds. Restricted wakes, etc. It could be set up with a permit only use, where the users purchase a yearly or daily permit to help fund maintenance, etc. It works for the OFSC north	3/2/2017 1:12 PM
820	Limit wake size, similar to harbour regulations in other locations.	3/2/2017 1:10 PM
821	Staffed patrols, severe penalties for abusers	3/2/2017 1:10 PM
822	I would stop going here as I would have no reason to I'm just as safe closer to home off the shore in Erie or Ontario and have less chances in a big area of getting hit or having a run in with a boat	3/2/2017 1:08 PM
823	No wake and no jet skis. Under 5mph at all times. Police on the water checking speed and alchol consumption would be absolutely mandatory. No motor boats after dark to protect evening paddlers and night fishing.	3/2/2017 1:06 PM
824	speed limit	3/2/2017 1:05 PM
825	I would hope that they would not let them in the area of the SNCC club house	3/2/2017 1:04 PM
826	Patrolled and strict enforced rules	3/2/2017 1:02 PM
827	Section would have to be roped off just for those boats because I would hate to read about a kayaker tipping over because some boater was reckless and went too fastthe water is not fun in a kayak/canoe or swimming, if we wanted waves, we'd go to the lake. You would need a by-law officer on the water - very costly to tax payers.	3/2/2017 12:59 PM
828	That the city enforce all provincial and federal laws surrounding boating and enforce a strict curfew that restricts boats from operating from dusk until dawn.	3/2/2017 12:59 PM
829	on duty by law officers, boat permits, time of day use, sectioned off areas for boating	3/2/2017 12:58 PM
830	Restrictions on where and when motorboats can be used	3/2/2017 12:53 PM
831	restricted areas	3/2/2017 12:51 PM
832	Daily on water police presence from March (water thaw) til Nov (or when water freezes)	3/2/2017 12:46 PM
833	Strict policing of speed and noise which will be challenging	3/2/2017 12:44 PM
834	Not allowing the use of motors	3/2/2017 12:41 PM
835	Enforcement of the law	3/2/2017 12:39 PM
836	I wouldn't even know where to begin! Boat traffic would mess up a lot of how paddles use the canal. For example rowers treat the canal like a road. Go down on the right, come back up on the left. I wouldn't even know how to add boats into the picture	3/2/2017 12:36 PM
837	Some sort of enforcement for those whochoose to use other motorized boats	3/2/2017 12:33 PM
838	Limit power, restricted areas for non motorized activities, restricted days for special events, CONSISTENT ENFORCEMENT of policies, as well as better funding for maintenance and enforcement.	3/2/2017 12:31 PM
839	full time policing	3/2/2017 12:27 PM
840	More police traffic, barriers to prohibit motorized traffic in designated areas	3/2/2017 12:23 PM
841	yearly permit, limited speed zone areas.	3/2/2017 12:21 PM
842	don't have both at same time, different days for motored and un-motored	3/2/2017 12:13 PM
843	Mandatory lifejackets, life rings placed intermittently along the shores, and common sense.	3/2/2017 12:13 PM
844	Perhaps have deisgnated times that motorized vehichles can be allowed on the waterway. Fines for those using the waterway outside those times.	3/2/2017 12:04 PM

845	you can't police current problems now it will be an additional struggle to control traffic along paths/park areas and you are actually considering introducing yet another vice?	3/2/2017 12:00 PM
846	Policing the waterway is not the cities job. It is the job of law enforcement.	3/2/2017 11:56 AM
847	monitors at the launch-ramps to ensure users are licenced (Boat operators cards)	3/2/2017 11:55 AM
848	The rowing courses and the area around the rental programs will need to be protected. We are excited for our daughter to attend rowing and kayaking summer camps this summer but would be concerned about her safety if sea dos' are on the water as well.	3/2/2017 11:54 AM
849	Only allow boating during certain hours, block off swimmable areas	3/2/2017 11:53 AM
850	I would expect a full engineering assessment of the banks and their stability first. Second, priority should ALWAYS be given to non-motorized users, if they are in the water, motorized traffic should be required to go slow. The whole canal should be designated a "No Wake" zone.	3/2/2017 11:43 AM
851	have speed limits in zones or no power zones for rowing	3/2/2017 11:42 AM
852	Police patrols when necessary.	3/2/2017 11:37 AM
853	Police presence on the waterway 7 days a week, speed limits and noise limits no motor boats to be used after dark.	3/2/2017 11:26 AM
854	Have a police boat present bi weeky at peak hours to ensure safety	3/2/2017 11:24 AM
855	would have to have an enforcement officer which I feel is not a good use of public money - smarter to keep "go quiet" by-law	3/2/2017 11:13 AM